



Using Electronic Surveys in Nursing Research

Diane G. Cope, PhD, ARNP, BC, AOCNP®

Computer and Internet use in businesses and homes in the United States has dramatically increased since the early 1980s. In 2011, 76% of households reported having a computer, compared with only 8% in 1984 (File, 2013). A similar increase in Internet use has also been seen, with 72% of households reporting access of the Internet in 2011 compared with 18% in 1997 (File, 2013). This emerging trend in technology has prompted use of electronic surveys in the research community as an alternative to previous telephone and postal surveys. Electronic surveys can offer an efficient, cost-effective method for data collection; however, challenges exist. An awareness of the issues and strategies to optimize data collection using web-based surveys is critical when designing research studies. This column will discuss the different types and advantages and disadvantages of using electronic surveys in nursing research, as well as methods to optimize the quality and quantity of survey responses.

Electronic surveys were first introduced in the 1980s and consist of two forms: web-based and email surveys. Web-based surveys invite a participant to a particular website to view and respond to a questionnaire and provide immediate storage of the survey responses using database technology and a hypertext markup language (HTML) interface (Andrews, Nonnecke, & Preece, 2003; McPeake, Bateson, & O'Neill, 2014). Some examples of frequently used online tools are SurveyMonkey®, Zoomerang, Google Forms, and SurveyGizmo. All of these tools offer free versions that may be limited by number of questions or number of responses. Upgraded versions are available for cost and can offer more customized options such as data reporting and statistical analysis. Email surveys are included within an email message or as a word document attachment and re-

sponses must be transferred and entered into storage (Andrews et al., 2003). Email surveys, in comparison to web-based surveys, allow for communication by the researcher with the study participant.

Advantages

Electronic surveys offer numerous advantages to data collection. This approach reduces costs that can be incurred with paper and postage and reduces time photocopying, folding, and enveloping the written questionnaire. Time-saving benefits are achieved as the surveys are delivered faster to the participant than postal surveys, and respondents can complete the survey with the responses then available to the researcher for analysis (Amar, 2008; Umbach, 2004). Sensitive topics can be more easily presented as a result of the anonymous, private approach in comparison to a face-to-face interview. Electronic surveys, in addition, can access a large, geographically diverse sample that targets a population with specific study criteria.

Disadvantages

Several challenges exist in executing an electronic survey. First and foremost, the study population's computer access and computer literacy must be considered. United States Census Bureau findings indicate that Internet use was greater among Asian and Caucasian non-Hispanic individuals, and in individuals with at least a bachelor's degree, with an income of \$100,000 or greater, and who were aged 18–34 years (82%) in comparison to those individuals older than aged 55 years (62%) (File, 2013).

Ensuring up-to-date and accurate email addresses may be another potential problem in using electronic surveys as a means of data collection. Study participants may

also have multiple email addresses and may not routinely check other accounts (McPeake et al., 2014). Surveys via email may also run the risk of not being delivered because of Internet security filters that can block email messages, particularly emails that have attachments.

Achieving high response rates remains a challenge. Nonresponse bias can be a major threat to reliability and validity of survey study findings (Fincham, 2008), and the researcher should strategically plan to encourage response rates when designing studies. Electronic surveys of healthcare providers suggest a low response rate in comparison to other traditional survey methods, such as postal or telephone surveys (Cho, Johnson, & Vangeest, 2013; Lozar Manfreda, Bosnjak, Berzelak, Haas, & Vehovar, 2008; Scott et al., 2011).

Significant time should be allotted to the development and preparation of the survey. The hard copy questionnaire must be converted for delivery via the web either through a web questionnaire set up for analysis software or set up as data configuration that is ported directly into the analysis software (Jones, Murphy, Edwards, & James, 2008). In an effort to heighten complete responses and response rates, questions should be constructed to illicit yes/no or Likert-style scale responses, rather than open-ended questions.

Strategies to Promote Electronic Survey Success

Several strategies have been suggested to increase response rates to electronic surveys. Edwards et al. (2009) conducted a systematic review to identify effective

ONF, 41(6), 681–682.

doi: 10.1188/14.ONF.681-682

strategies to increase response to postal and electronic questionnaires. Results indicated that response to an electronic questionnaire was increased through the use of non-monetary incentives, shorter e-questionnaires, including a statement that others had responded when sending a reminder email, a more interesting topic, using a lottery with immediate notification of results, providing an offer of survey results, using a white background with a personalized e-questionnaire, using a simple header without the word "survey," using textual representation of response categories, and giving a deadline.

Another approach is the use of an introductory email sent to the email subject prior to the distribution of the survey (Ganassali, 2008). The introductory email should be personalized and present brief information regarding the study, the date when the participant can expect to receive the survey, what is expected of the participant, and the estimated time to complete the survey.

Reminder alerts can also increase response rates to electronic surveys (Duffett et al., 2012; Sahlqvist et al., 2011). Information regarding the survey response rate at the time of the reminder and restating the deadline date can prompt additional survey completion.

Implications for Nursing Research

Electronic surveys provide a unique approach to data collection that is well-suited for quantitative nursing research. In this issue of the *Oncology Nursing Forum*, Lavoie Smith et al. (2014) explored nursing knowledge, practice patterns, and learning preferences regarding chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy using an electronic survey method. This method enabled the researchers to select a large sample of 10,000 nurses. To encourage completion of the survey, the participants were offered a non-monetary incentive with the option of entering a drawing for a tablet computer.

In addition to surveying nurses and other healthcare providers, electronic surveys can be employed in quantitative nursing studies involving large patient populations through the approved use of data registries in medical centers or health organizations. Nursing studies with large samples will ultimately benefit nursing knowledge and patient care with robust findings.

Limitations for Nursing Research

Although electronic surveys are now widely accepted in quantitative research, use with qualitative research has been limited to date. Qualitative research is particularly critical to the field of nursing in gaining an understanding of patients and their experiences. Newer web-based, qualitative research techniques are beginning to emerge with web-based bulletin boards, real-time chats, research blogs, research communities, social network monitoring, video journals, and webcam focus groups. Additional research is needed to explore the use of these types of qualitative data collection methods.

Another limitation of using an electronic survey method in nursing is the potential study exclusion of specialized populations, such as pediatric and geriatric patients. Creative research strategies will need to be developed in the future to reach the nurses caring for these specialized populations.

Conclusion

Electronic surveys in nursing research can offer a fast, efficient, and cost-effective approach to data collection, with the ability to reach a large population. Nurse researchers should know the advantages and disadvantages of electronic surveys when developing a research study to include strategies that will yield both complete questionnaire responses and a high response rate.

Diane G. Cope, PhD, ARNP, BC, AOCNP®, is an oncology nurse practitioner at Florida Cancer Specialists and Research Institute in Fort Myers, FL. No financial relationships to disclose. Cope can be reached at dgcope@comcast.net, with copy to editor at ONFEditor@ons.org.

Key words: web-based surveys; email surveys; nursing research

References

- Amar, A.F. (2008). Successful links for electronic surveys. *Journal of Forensic Nursing, 4*, 138–140.
- Andrews, D., Nonnecke, B., & Preece, J. (2003). Electronic survey methodology: A case study in reaching hard to involve Internet users. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 16*, 185–210.

- Cho, Y.L., Johnson, T.P., & Vangeest, J.B. (2013). Enhancing surveys of health care professionals: A meta-analysis of techniques to improve response. *Evaluation and the Health Profession, 36*, 382–407.
- Duffett, M., Burns, K.E., Adhikari, N.K., Arnold, D.M., Lauzier, F., Kho, M.E., . . . Cook, D.J. (2012). Quality of reporting surveys in critical care journals: A methodologic review. *Critical Care Medicine, 40*, 441–449.
- Edwards, P.J., Roberts, I., Clarke, M.J., DiGuseppi, C., Wentz, R., Kwan, I., . . . Pratap, S. (2009). Methods to increase response to postal and electronic questionnaires. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Review, 8*, MR000008.
- File, T. (2013). Computer and internet use in the United States. *Current Population Survey Reports*, P20–568. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau.
- Fincham, J.E. (2008). Response rates and responsiveness for surveys, standards and the journal. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 72*, 1–3.
- Ganassali, S. (2008). The influence of the design of the web survey questionnaires on the quality of responses. *Survey Research Methods, 2*, 21–32.
- Jones, S., Murphy, F., Edwards, M., & James, J. (2008). Doing things differently: Advantages and disadvantages of web questionnaires. *Nurse Researcher, 15*, 15–26.
- Lavoie Smith, E.M., Campbell, G., Toftagen, C., Lottschade, L., Collins, M.L., Wharton, C., . . . Visovsky, C. (2014). Nursing knowledge, practice patterns, and learning preferences regarding chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Oncology Nursing Forum, 41*, 669–679.
- Lozar Manfreda, K., Bosnjak, M., Berzelak, J., Haas, I., & Vehovar, V. (2008). Web surveys versus other survey modes: A meta-analysis comparing response rates. *International Journal of Market Research, 50*, 79–104.
- McPeake, J., Bateson, M., & O'Neill, A. (2014). Electronic surveys: How to maximize success. *Nurse Researcher, 21*, 24–26.
- Sahlqvist, S., Song, Y., Bull, F., Adams, E., Preston, J., & Ogilvie, D. (2011). Effect of questionnaire length, personalisation and reminder type on response rate to a complex postal survey: Randomised controlled trial. *BMC, 11*, 62.
- Scott, A., Jeon, S.H., Joyce, C.M., Humphreys, J.S., Kalb, G., Witt, J., & Leahy, A. (2011). A randomized trial and economic evaluation of the effect of response mode on response rate, response bias, and item non-response in a survey of doctors. *BMC, 11*, 126.
- Umbach, P.D. (2004). Web surveys: Best practices. *New Directions for Institutional Research, 121*, 23–38.