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Patients with breast cancer use complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) despite the fact that no studies have shown altered disease progression attributable to CAM use. The purpose of this systematic review is to summarize research as it relates to CAM use among women with breast cancer. Among the many findings of the review, biologically based practices were noted as the types of CAM most used by women with breast cancer, followed by mind-body medicine, whole medical systems, and energy medicine. Sources of information about CAM use for women with breast cancer vary widely, including family, friends, mass media, healthcare providers, CAM providers, and self-help groups. Sociodemographic factors that appear to be related to CAM use were younger age, higher education, higher income, married status, involvement in a support group, and health insurance. The reasons for CAM use reported by women with breast cancer were to help healing, to promote emotional health, and to cure cancer. Oncology nurses should learn more about CAM use among women with breast cancer. Open communication about CAM use helps ensure that safe and holistic care is provided. Additional research in this particular area is needed.

Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) is widely used in the United States. The 2007 National Health Interview Survey by the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) and the National Center for Health Statistics showed that 38% of adults in the United States are using some forms of CAM (NCCAM, 2009a). Women with breast cancer are more likely to use CAM compared to other patients with cancer, such as those with colorectal, prostate (Patterson et al., 2002), or gynecologic cancer (Fasching et al., 2007). The rate of CAM use in women with breast cancer has been reported to be as high as 75% (Astin, Reilly, Perkins, & Child, 2006).

Although few studies have been conducted regarding clinical efficacy of CAM and no studies have shown altered disease progression from CAM use, Jacobson, Workman, and Kronenberg (2000) asserted that many women with breast cancer turn to CAM as they suffer from side effects of conventional treatments. This may contribute to issues such as a delay in seeking medical treatment or serious interactions between CAM and conventional treatments when the safety of CAM is unknown (Rakovitch et al., 2005).

Providing information about CAM to women with breast cancer should be the role of healthcare providers. As such, understanding CAM use in women with breast cancer is essential (Boon

At a Glance

- Women with breast cancer seek complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) more than other patient populations with cancer.
- About 50% of women with breast cancer disclose CAM use to their healthcare providers.
- Oncology nurses should obtain detailed information about CAM use by their patients and explore why women with breast cancer do not discuss the use of CAM with healthcare providers.
et al., 2000). Research also should be conducted to ascertain what factors motivate CAM use. Knowledge of this could lead to better counseling (Balneaves, Kristjanson, & Tataryn, 1999). Unfortunately, this particular area remains poorly understood (Burstein, Gelber, Guadagnoli, & Weeks, 1999; Owens, 2007; Patterson et al., 2002). The purpose of this literature review is to summarize research as it relates to CAM use among women with breast cancer. The specific questions about women with breast cancer were (a) which types of CAM were reported, (b) what were the sources of information about CAM, (c) what factors contributed to CAM use, and (d) why did women decide to use CAM?

Methods

An electronic search was performed with the CINAHL, PsycINFO®, and PubMed databases. The keywords included CAM and breast cancer, alternative treatment/therapies and breast cancer, and complementary and breast cancer. The inclusion criteria for the review were that the documents were original quantitative research and published in English from January 1990 through October 2009. Qualitative research and literature reviews were excluded. To ensure that studies focused specifically on women with breast cancer, the authors retrieved documents that contained the words breast cancer patients or women with breast cancer within their titles or abstracts. Articles that were not directly relevant to the specific research questions also were excluded.

Results

From the database, 44 articles were identified, of which 33 met the criteria for inclusion. The documents were original quantitative research, published in English, and directly relevant to the research questions (see Table 1). Most of the studies (52%) were conducted in the United States, followed by Canada (15%), China (9%), and Australia (6%).

Types of Complementary and Alternative Medicine Used

Empirical research has documented that a wide range of CAM types are used by women with breast cancer. Using types of CAM as grouped by NCCAM (2009b) (see Figure 1), of the 33 selected studies, 21 reported that biologically based practices (e.g., herbs, vitamins, foods) were the types of CAM most used, whereas nine studies reported mind-body medicine (e.g., meditation, prayer, mental healing) as the most frequent type of CAM used by women with breast cancer (Alferi, Antoni, Ironson, Kilbourn, & Carver, 2001; Balneaves et al., 1999; Burststein et al., 1999; Henderson & Donatelle, 2003; Montazeri, Sajadian, Ebrahimi, & Akbari, 2005; Owens, Jackson, & Berndt, 2009; Rees et al., 2000; Richardson, Sanders, Palmer, Greisinger, & Singletary, 2000; VandeGreek, Rogers, & Lester, 1999). Only two studies reported whole medical systems (e.g., homeopathic medicine, naturopathic medicine, traditional Chinese medicine) as the type of CAM most frequently used by women with breast cancer (Crocetti et al., 1998; Cui et al., 2004). In addition, only one study reported energy medicine (e.g., Qi gong, Reiki, therapeutic touch) as the type of CAM most frequently used by women with breast cancer (Shen et al., 2002).

Sources of Information

All 11 studies that investigated sources of information about CAM for women with breast cancer revealed that family and friends were frequently reported as important information sources about CAM, followed by media outlets such as the Internet, magazines, books, newspapers, or journals (Abdullah, Lau, & Chow, 2003; Chou, Horng, Tolmos, & Vargas, 2000; Fasching et al., 2007; Gulluoglu, Cingi, Cakir, & Barlas, 2008; Kremser et al., 2008; Molassiotis et al., 2006; Moschien et al., 2001; Navo et al., 2004; Salmenpera, 2002; Shen et al., 2002; VandeGreek et al., 1999). Seven of the studies reported healthcare providers as sources of information about CAM for women with breast cancer (Fasching et al., 2007; Kremser et al., 2008; Molassiotis et al., 2006; Moschien et al., 2001; Navo et al., 2004; Salmenpera, 2002; Shen et al., 2002), whereas CAM providers were reported as sources of information in four studies (Kremser et al., 2008; Molassiotis et al., 2006; Navo et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2002). In addition, three studies reported that a self-help group was the source of information about CAM (Abdullah et al., 2003; Kremser et al., 2008; Molassiotis et al., 2006). Only one study showed that a health insurance company was a source of information about CAM for women with breast cancer (Fasching et al., 2007).

Sociodemographic Factors

Sociodemographic factors found to be associated with CAM use included age, education, income, marital status, health insurance, and support group involvement. Of the 29 studies that investigated sociodemographic factors and CAM use in women with breast cancer, 22 reported that women who were younger and had higher education were more likely to use CAM than those who were older and had less education. Only one study reported that older women were more likely to use CAM than those who were younger (Navo et al., 2004). Only a few studies found that age and education did not relate to CAM use in women with breast cancer (Lengacher et al., 2006; Montazeri et al., 2005).

Of the 29 studies, eight reported that women with breast cancer who had higher income were more likely to use CAM than those who had lower income (Ashikaga, Bosompra, O’Brien, Nelson, 2002; Chen et al., 2008; Cui et al., 2004; Hann, Allen, Ciambrone, & Shah, 2006; Helyer et al., 2006; Lee, Lin, Wrensch, Adler, & Eisenberg, 2000; Navo et al., 2004; Owens et al., 2009). However, two studies reported no relationship between income and CAM use (Henderson & Donatelle, 2003; Kremser et al., 2008).

Regarding marital status, five studies revealed that married women were more likely to use CAM than those who were single (Chen et al., 2008; Chou et al., 2000; Cui et al., 2004; Gulluoglu et al., 2008; Helyer et al., 2006); however, three studies showed no relationship between marital status and CAM use (Hann et al., 2006; Montazeri et al., 2005; Richardson et al., 2000).

In terms of the relationships between health insurance and support groups and CAM use in women with breast cancer, four studies found that women with breast cancer who had private health insurance were more likely to use CAM than those who did not have private insurance (Helyer et al., 2006; Henderson & Donatelle, 2003; Lee et al., 2000; Rakovitch et al., 2005). Three
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY</th>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>TYPES OF CAM USED</th>
<th>SOURCES OF CAM INFORMATION</th>
<th>SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS RELATED TO CAM USE</th>
<th>REASONS FOR CAM USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdullah et al., 2003</td>
<td>352 patients with breast cancer in Hong Kong, China</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Lingzhi (45%), shark cartilage (44%), fungi (29%), ginseng (14%), Qi gong (14%), and others such as herbs and vegetables, natural therapy, animal or animal extracts, or Chinese medical practitioners (35%)</td>
<td>Friends, family members or relatives, mass media, self-help groups, posters, brochures, and pamphlets</td>
<td>Younger age and higher education</td>
<td>Many believed CAM could serve as a supplement to orthodox treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alferi et al., 2001</td>
<td>231 African American, Hispanic American, and Caucasian women with early-stage breast cancer</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Meditation or imagery (29%), support groups (23%), psychotherapy (22%), spiritual healing (21%), herbal medications (14%), massage or body therapy (11%), and acupuncture (1%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Younger age and higher education</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashikaga et al., 2002</td>
<td>148 patients with breast cancer in Vermont</td>
<td>Face-to-face interviews</td>
<td>Vitamins (63%), herbal treatments (21%), meditation (21%), traditional massage (20%), yoga (12%), chiropractic (7%), homeopathy (7%), naturopathy (5%), acupuncture (5%), hypnosis (3%), and other (16%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education, higher income, and younger age</td>
<td>Patients believed that CAM was helpful to recovery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balneaves et al., 1999</td>
<td>52 women with all stages of breast cancer in an urban center in central Canada</td>
<td>Face-to-face interviews</td>
<td>Meditation or relaxation (64%), vitamins or tonics (58%), spiritual or faith healing (54%), herbal remedies (50%), special food or diets (27%), immune therapies (23%), massage (19%), detoxification (17%), and shark cartilage (8%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education</td>
<td>Patients believed that CAM assisted the body's natural ability to heal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balneaves et al., 2006</td>
<td>334 patients with breast cancer from central Canada who were chosen from a cancer registry</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Vitamin or mineral supplements (68%), herbal or plant (42%), spiritual therapies (35%), physical or movement therapies (32%), psychological or expressive therapies (24%), alternative medical systems (17%), energy therapies (16%), pharmacologic or biologic supplements (15%), diet therapies (10%), and others (3%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education and younger age</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burstein et al., 1999</td>
<td>480 women with early-stage breast cancer in Massachusetts</td>
<td>Telephone interviews</td>
<td>Psychological therapies such as relaxation, spiritual healing, and imagery (29%) and healing therapies such as megavitamin, herbs, massage, and acupuncture (28%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education and younger age</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al., 2008</td>
<td>5,046 women with primary breast cancer in Shanghai, China</td>
<td>Face-to-face interviews</td>
<td>Supplements such as sporophyte, vitamins, fish oil, or ginseng (77%) and traditional Chinese medicine such as herbal medicine and acupuncture (71%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education, higher income, being married, and younger age</td>
<td>To treat cancer, boost the immune system, and decrease menopausal symptoms.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 1. Studies Related to Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) Use Among Women With Breast Cancer (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY</th>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>TYPES OF CAM USED</th>
<th>SOURCES OF CAM INFORMATION</th>
<th>SOCIO DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS RELATED TO CAM USE</th>
<th>REASONS FOR CAM USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chou et al., 2000</td>
<td>45 patients with breast cancer being treated at the University of CA, LA, Medical Center</td>
<td>Face-to-face interviews</td>
<td>Vitamins, dietary changes, herbs, and spiritual healing</td>
<td>Family, friends, and media</td>
<td>Being married and younger age</td>
<td>To improve health, follow the physician’s recommendations for vitamin intake, and decrease side effects of adjuvant treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crocetti et al., 1998</td>
<td>242 patients with breast cancer in Italy</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Homeopathy, manual healing, herbs, and acupuncture</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education and younger age</td>
<td>Physical distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cui et al., 2004</td>
<td>1,065 patients with breast cancer in Shanghai, China</td>
<td>Face-to-face interviews</td>
<td>Traditional Chinese medicine (87%); Chinese herb medicine (86%); supplements (85%); physical exercises such as Qi gong, tai chi, and gong fu (66%); support groups (17%); and acupuncture (5%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education, having a younger age, being married, and higher income</td>
<td>Patients believed it would treat their cancer, enhance their immune system, prevent metastasis or manage other discomforts, and lessen menopausal symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasching et al., 2007</td>
<td>796 patients with breast cancer and 234 patients with gynecologic cancer in Duesseldorf, Germany</td>
<td>Face-to-face interviews</td>
<td>Dietary supplements (77%), mistletoe therapy (74%), enzymatic therapy (59%), immunological augmentative therapy (55%), physical therapy (51%), traditional Chinese medicine (43%), biologic treatment (29%), cancer diets (20%), and psychological therapy (20%)</td>
<td>Physician, friends, family members, and a health insurance company</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulluoglu et al., 2008</td>
<td>129 patients with breast cancer in northwestern Turkey</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Herbal medicine (67%) spiritual healing (24%), nutritional support (22%), dietary regulation (11%), physical exercise (11%), musical therapy (4%), massage (2%), acupuncture (2%), and meditation (2%)</td>
<td>Friends and relatives</td>
<td>Younger age and being married</td>
<td>To improve health status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hann et al., 2006</td>
<td>166 women diagnosed with early-stage breast cancer in the northeastern United States</td>
<td>Telephone interviews</td>
<td>Dietary (54%), vitamins (44%), herbal methods (44%), physical method such as massage or acupuncture (44%), meditation (11%), and prayer (6%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Younger age, higher education, higher income, and attendance in a support group</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helyer et al., 2006</td>
<td>32 women with locally advanced breast cancer in Toronto, Canada</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Dietary such as vitamins, minerals, and vegetarian or low-fat diets; herbal or homeopathy; psychological methods such as meditation, imagery, hypnosis, or faith; physical methods such as massage, acupuncture, yoga, or tai chi; and alternative providers such as chiropractor, naturopathic doctor, acupuncturist, or traditional Chinese medicine doctor</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Younger age, higher income, being married, Asian ethnicity, having private health insurance, and involvement in cancer support groups</td>
<td>Patients believed that CAM would assist body healing, boost the immune system, and give them a feeling of control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Types of CAM Used</td>
<td>Sources of CAM Information</td>
<td>Sociodemographic Factors Related to CAM Use</td>
<td>Reasons for CAM Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson &amp; Donatelle, 2003</td>
<td>551 women diagnosed with breast cancer in Portland, OR</td>
<td>Telephone interviews</td>
<td>Relaxation or meditation (28%), herbs (26%), spiritual healing (26%), megavitamins or nutrient therapies (23%), massage (19%), imagery (13%), chiropractor (13%), naturopathy (13%), support groups (13%), lifestyle and diet (9%), immune therapy (5%), acupuncture (5%), energy healing (2%), biofeedback (2%), and hypnosis (1%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education, younger age, and having private health insurance</td>
<td>To enhance overall quality of life, give feelings of control, strengthen the immune system, and reduce stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kremser et al., 2008</td>
<td>367 patients with breast cancer in Australia</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Vitamin supplements (54%), support groups (50%), massage (41%), meditation (39%), diets (24%), yoga (22%), herbal remedies (19%), juices (16%), Reiki (15%), acupuncture (14%), exercise (10%), reflexology (8%), homeopathy (8%), art therapy (5%), tai chi (5%), Chinese medicine (5%), dragon boating (5%), bach flowers (4%), naturopathy (4%), and shark cartilage (4%)</td>
<td>Media such as Internet, magazines, newspapers, television, and radio; doctor; friends; other patients; family; support groups; naturopath practitioner; nurse; Chinese medicine practitioner; pharmacist; and homeopath</td>
<td>Higher education and younger age</td>
<td>Patients believed CAM improved their physical and emotional well-being, boosted the immune system, reduced side effects of treatment, prevented the recurrence of cancer, treated cancer, and reduced symptoms associated with breast cancer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al., 2000</td>
<td>379 women with breast cancer in San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>Telephone interviews</td>
<td>Mental methods (30%), dietary therapies (27%), physical methods (14%), herbal or homeopathy (14%), and others (1%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Younger age, higher education, having private insurance, higher income, and use of support groups</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lengacher et al., 2006</td>
<td>105 patients with breast cancer in Florida</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Diet and nutritional supplements such as vitamins or minerals, macrobiotics, herbs, and antioxidants (68%); stress-reducing techniques such as art therapy, music therapy, humor, imagery, prayer or spiritual healing, yoga, or meditation (66%); and traditional and ethnic medicines such as massage, chiropractic, reflexology, therapeutic touch, and aromatherapy (11%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education</td>
<td>Patients believed that CAM reduced physical symptoms or side effects, reduced psychological stress, addressed their dissatisfaction with traditional medical care, and helped them gain a sense of control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molassiotis et al., 2006</td>
<td>282 patients with breast cancer from 11 countries in Europe</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Herbal medicine (46%), spiritual therapies (21%), relaxation (21%), teas (20%), homeopathy (19%), vitamins or minerals (15%), massage (15%), visualization (10%), acupuncture (10%), animal extracts (9%), and support groups (8%)</td>
<td>Friends, family, media, CAM practitioners, Internet, nurses, physicians, and religious groups</td>
<td>Higher education and younger age</td>
<td>To fight cancer, improve physical and emotional well-being, increase hope and optimism, and counter ill effects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY</th>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>TYPES OF CAM USED</th>
<th>SOURCES OF CAM INFORMATION</th>
<th>SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS RELATED TO CAM USE</th>
<th>REASONS FOR CAM USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Montazeri et al., 2005</td>
<td>177 patients with breast cancer in Iran</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Prayer or spiritual healing (74%), bio energy (12%), homeopathy (3%), herbs (3%), acupuncture (2%), meditation (2%), yoga (2%), sports medicine (2%), and counseling (2%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>No significant factors were found.</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris et al., 2000</td>
<td>288 patients with breast cancer and 329 patients with other forms of cancer from a tumor registry in the United States</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Nutrition (65%), massage (57%), herbs (49%), chiropractor (43%), relaxation (41%), and acupuncture (31%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>To relieve pain, control side effects, boost the immune system, and cure cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moschén et al., 2001</td>
<td>117 patients with breast cancer in Australia</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Vegetable drinks (53%), megavitamins (51%), mistletoe (49%), minerals (48%), special diets (36%), homeopathy (31%), special teas (29%), relaxation training (26%), enzyme (20%) and thymus preparations (15%), and other (29%)</td>
<td>Physicians, friends and other patients, magazines or books, and general practitioners</td>
<td>Higher education, younger age, more active style of coping, and greater religious involvement</td>
<td>Patients sought an active role in their treatment, had a wish to leave nothing untried, felt CAM complemented conventional treatment, and believed CAM was a gentle treatment free from adverse effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navo et al., 2004</td>
<td>250 patients with breast cancer and 250 patients with gynecologic cancer in Texas</td>
<td>Face-to-face interviews</td>
<td>Megavitamins or minerals (59%) and herbas such as glucosamine chondroitin, garlic, CQ 10, green tea, flaxseed, and fish oil (47%)</td>
<td>Media, friends and family, health professionals, alternative care specialists, and formal education</td>
<td>Older age and higher education</td>
<td>To improve overall health, reduce adverse drug reactions, improve quality of life, and treat cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owens et al., 2009</td>
<td>125 Hispanic patients with cancer in Texas</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Prayer (93%), humor (83%), music (70%), exercise (65%), relaxation therapy (44%), spirituality (40%), imagery (22%), massage (27%), herbs (26%), folk therapy (22%), group therapy (17%), diet (16%), energy therapy (11%), yoga (11%), biotherapy (10%), homeopathy (7%), chiropractor (6%), Reiki (5%), acupuncture (4%), and hypnosis (2%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher income</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterson et al., 2002</td>
<td>126 patients with breast cancer, 114 with prostate cancer, and 116 with colorectal cancer in Washington</td>
<td>Telephone interviews</td>
<td>Dietary supplements (65%); mental therapies such as meditation, spiritual activities, and prayer (19%); and alternative providers such as naturopathic physicians, massage therapists, and spiritual advisors (17%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education</td>
<td>Patients used CAM for general health and well-being, to treat their cancer, to ease cancer-related symptoms, and to treat other diseases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rakovitch et al., 2005</td>
<td>251 women diagnosed with breast cancer in Toronto, Canada</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Dietary methods such as vitamins and low-fat vegetarian diets (91%), psychological methods such as meditation and relaxation (54%), physical therapies such as massage (39%), and herbal or homeopathy (31%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education, younger age, having private health insurance, and having full-time employment</td>
<td>To cure cancer, prevent the spread and recurrence of cancer, assist other treatments, relieve symptoms, boost the immune system, increase quality of life, and give a feeling of control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Types of CAM Used</th>
<th>Sources of CAM Information</th>
<th>Sociodemographic Factors Related To CAM Use</th>
<th>Reasons For CAM Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rees et al., 2000</td>
<td>714 women with breast cancer in South Thames, United Kingdom</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Massage or aromatherapy (14%); psychotherapy (13%); chiropractic or osteopathy (10%); relaxation, yoga, and meditation (8%); healing (8%); reflexology (7%); homeopathy (6%); acupuncture (5%); herbal medicine (3%); nutrition (3%); hypnotherapy (2%); and use of support groups (1%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Higher education, younger age, and previous CAM use</td>
<td>To cure or slow down cancer and relieve symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richardson et al., 2000</td>
<td>60 patients with breast cancer and 393 patients with other forms of cancer in Texas</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Spiritual practices (81%), vitamins or herbs (63%), movement or physical therapies (59%), mind or body (49%), psychotherapy (41%), special diet (32%), and other (11%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Younger age</td>
<td>Patients felt hopeful when using CAM, believed it to be nontoxic, and felt that CAM use addressed their need for more control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmenpera, 2002</td>
<td>216 patients with breast cancer and 190 patients with prostate cancer in southwestern Finland</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Vitamins, trace elements, or antioxidant treatments; dietary supplements and natural products; spiritual healing; homeopathy and extract of mistletoe; and other types such as aromatherapy and reflexology</td>
<td>Family or friends, reading, and health care professionals</td>
<td>Higher education and younger age</td>
<td>Patients believed they were doing as much as they could do; CAM gave them hope and addressed their disappointment in conventional treatments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shen et al., 2002</td>
<td>115 patients with advanced-stage breast cancer in an urban center in the United States</td>
<td>Face-to-face structured interviews</td>
<td>Herbal medicine, tai chi, yoga, Qi gong, imagery, spiritual healing, massage, megavitamin, shark cartilage, special diets, energy healing, acupuncture, hypnosis, chiropractic, biofeedback, homeopathy, and folk remedies</td>
<td>Friends, family members, mass media, healthcare professionals, and CAM practitioners</td>
<td>Higher education</td>
<td>To boost the immune system, treat cancer, relieve side effects of treatment, relieve symptoms, reduce stress, and trigger detoxification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vande-Greek et al., 1999</td>
<td>112 women with early-stage breast cancer in the United States</td>
<td>Face-to-face interviews</td>
<td>Prayer (76%), exercise (38%), spiritual healing (29%), megavitamins (25%), relaxation (21%), self-help groups (21%), imagery (19%), herbs (14%), and massage (10%)</td>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Influence from family folklore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van der Weg &amp; Streuli, 2003</td>
<td>53 patients with breast cancer and 55 patients with other forms of cancer in a rural area of Switzerland</td>
<td>Face-to-face interviews</td>
<td>Mistletoe (74%), homeopathy (24%), diets (12%), bath flower remedies (10%), music and color therapy (7%), massage (7%), spiritual healing (7%), metals and crystals (7%), hypnosis (2%), acupuncture (2%), osteopathy (2%), biofeedback (2%), and Simonton therapy (2%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Patients wanted to do as much as possible, to feel more hopeful, and to harness their mental energy; they also believed CAM was nontoxic, corresponded well to their lifestyles, addressed their disappointment in conventional treatment, and helped them avoid chemotherapy or radiotherapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yap et al., 2004</td>
<td>290 women diagnosed with early-stage invasive breast cancer in Toronto, Canada</td>
<td>Self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>Extracts from organisms such as evening primrose oil or fish oil (28%), herbal therapies (24%), minerals (11%), energy life force therapies such as acupuncture and life path (5%), vitamins (3%), immune boosters (1%), diet therapies (1%), and drugs (1%)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Younger age</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
studies reported that women with breast cancer who attended a support group appeared to use CAM more than those who were not involved in a support group (Hann et al., 2006; Helyer et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2000).

Reason for Use

The rationale for CAM use reported by women with breast cancer was diverse. Of the 25 studies, 17 reported recovery or healing as reasons for CAM use. For instance, six studies reported that women used CAM because they believed it was helpful to recovering, healing, and improving health (Ashikaga et al., 2002; Balneaves et al., 1999; Gulluoglu et al., 2008; Helyer et al., 2006; Navo et al., 2004; Patterson et al., 2002). Boosting the immune system was reported as a reason for use in many studies (Chen et al., 2008; Cui et al., 2004; Helyer et al., 2006; Henderson & Donatelle, 2003; Kremser et al., 2008; Morris, Jognson, Homer, & Walts, 2000; Rakovitch et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2002). In addition, reducing side effects of conventional treatments also was reported as a reason to use CAM (Chen et al., 2008; Chou et al., 2000; Cui et al., 2004; Kremser et al., 2008; Lengacher et al., 2006; Molassiotis et al., 2006; Morris et al., 2000; Moschén et al., 2001, Navo et al., 2004).

Some studies showed that CAM was used to improve emotional health. For example, six studies reported the reason for CAM use among women with breast cancer was to increase the feeling of control (Helyer et al., 2006; Henderson & Donatelle, 2003; Lengacher et al., 2006; Rakovitch et al., 2005; Richardson et al., 2000; Van der Weg & Streuli, 2003). Reducing physical and psychological distress also was reported as the reason to use CAM (Crockett et al., 1998; Henderson & Donatelle, 2003; Lengacher et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2002).

Nine studies reported that the reason women with breast cancer used CAM was to cure or treat cancer (Chen et al., 2008; Cui et al., 2004; Kremser et al., 2008; Morris et al., 2000; Navo et al., 2004; Patterson et al., 2002; Rakovitch et al., 2005; Rees et al., 2000; Shen et al., 2002). However, only three studies reported dissatisfaction with traditional treatment as the reason for using CAM (Lengacher et al., 2006; Salmnerpera, 2002; Van der Weg & Streuli, 2003), and three studies reported that the reason was to supplement conventional treatment (Abdullah et al., 2003; Moschén et al., 2001; Rakovitch et al., 2005).

Discussion

Biologically based practices (e.g., herbs, vitamins, foods) were the most common types of CAM used by women with breast cancer, followed by mind-body medicine such as prayer, meditation, or spiritual healing. In contrast, energy medicine (e.g., Qi gong, Reiki) and whole medical systems such as naturopathy, homeopathy, and traditional Chinese medicine were less likely to be used by women with breast cancer. However, the conclusion for this research should be made cautiously as investigators classified CAM in different categories. For instance, some studies classified CAM into two categories: healing therapies and psychological therapies (Burstein et al., 1999); others classified CAM into seven categories: special diet, psychotherapy, movement and physical therapy, mind/body therapies, spiritual practices, vitamins and herbs, and other approaches (Richardson et al., 2000); whereas still others divided CAM into 15 categories, such as herbal therapies, energy life force therapies, or physical therapies (Yap et al., 2004). Therefore, it would be helpful if future research used a standardized classification such as the categories of CAM used by NCCAM (2009b).

Reviewed studies showed that the information sources about CAM use for women with breast cancer vary widely, including friends, family members, conventional health professionals, CAM providers, media outlets, self-help groups, and a health insurance company. This may be interpreted as positive as they used a variety of information sources for their health decision making. On the other hand, health professionals were less likely to be the primary information sources when compared to friends and family members; the role of healthcare providers as educators about CAM use should be addressed (Boon et al., 2000). Many studies reported that only about 50% of women with breast cancer who used CAM disclosed CAM use to their physicians (Adler & Fosket, 1999; Lee et al., 2000; Navo et al., 2004). More interesting, Shen et al. (2002) reported that women with advanced-stage breast cancer who used CAM would discuss CAM use with their physicians when they used herbal medicine. In contrast, if they used chiropractic, imagery, spiritual healing, hypnosis, acupuncture, or energy healing, they were less likely to disclose these to their physicians. However, disclosure with the healthcare provider in other cases cannot be determined until additional research is conducted.

The literature revealed that women with breast cancer who were younger and had higher education appeared to engage in CAM use more than those who were older and had less education. Patterson et al. (2002) reported that younger women with breast cancer were more likely to use mental therapies than those who were older. In addition, this study also showed that women with a college education were about five times more likely to see an alternative provider, three times more likely to use mental therapies, and two times more likely to take dietary supplements when compared to patients who had less education. However, as only one descriptive study focused on this...
issue, drawing a firm conclusion on this topic may be not warranted until more empirical evidence is provided.

Previously published studies have found that having higher income, being married, having private health insurance, and being involved in a support group were more likely to be positively correlated with CAM use in women with breast cancer. However, some studies reported no relationship among these variables. Therefore, the empirical findings about how income, marital status, health insurance, and support groups relate to CAM use in women with breast cancer should be explored.

The reason for CAM use often reported by women with breast cancer was to promote healing and emotional health. In terms of physical health promotion, reduction of side effects of treatments was another reason for use (Chou et al., 2000; Hann et al., 2006; Kremser et al., 2008; Owens & Dirksen, 2003); however, Yap et al. (2004) showed that women with breast cancer who used CAM were more likely to experience symptoms (e.g., stiffness, pain, numbness, swelling) in the shoulder or arm than non-users as an outcome of use. Therefore, additional research is needed.

Carpenter, Ganz, and Bernstein (2009) showed that women with breast cancer who reported poorer emotional functioning and more medical issues were more likely to use CAM than those who reported better emotional functioning and who did not report having medical problems. In addition, previous studies have shown that CAM users were more likely to report depression than non-users (Burstein et al., 1999; Montazeri et al., 2005). Moschén et al. (2001) reported that the more depressive the coping styles were in women with breast cancer, more types of CAM were used. However, Rakovitch et al. (2005) reported that CAM use in women with breast cancer was not related to anxiety and depression. Additional research is needed to clarify this issue.

Although a lack of evidence exists about efficacy of CAM in treating cancer, previous studies have shown that women with breast cancer still use CAM in the hope that it could cure cancer. This is an important issue that healthcare providers should address. In addition, it confirms why nurses need to communicate with patients and learn more about CAM use among women with breast cancer. Although only a few previous studies showed dissatisfaction with conventional treatment as a reason to use CAM, it does not mean that women with breast cancer ignore CAM use. Conversely, many of them use CAM as a complement to traditional therapies. Consequently, negative effects from interaction between CAM and conventional therapies might occur (Rakovitch et al., 2005).

Implications for Nursing

This review highlights several implications for healthcare providers who work with women with breast cancer. First, the analysis found that women with breast cancer use a variety of CAM types for a variety of reasons. Oncology nurses or clinicians should screen or assess details about the use of CAM in each patient so that nursing counseling would be more appropriate on an individual basis. The following questions could be used for screening or assessment in everyday nursing practice: “Could you please tell us what you consider to be CAM?” “Could you please tell us what types of CAM you have used?” “When did you begin to use CAM, before or after breast cancer diagnosis?” “Where do you get information about CAM?” “Why were you interested in using CAM?” (Balneaves, Truant, Kelly, Verhoef, & Davison, 2007, p. 975). This understanding may guide oncology nurses as they take care of these patients. For example, if women with breast cancer use mind-body medicine such as prayer or meditation, it might be a signal that patients are struggling with coping with their disease or treatment and may need suggestions on how to deal with these issues. Therefore, referring them to experts such as psychotherapists would be helpful (Patterson et al., 2002).

More importantly, many women with breast cancer believe that CAM can cure cancer. Communication about CAM between healthcare providers and patients should be open so that safe and holistic care can be provided (Baum, Ernst, Leujeune, & Horneber, 2006; Owens et al., 2009). Weiger et al. (2002) suggested that healthcare providers share with patients the current empirical evidence showing that CAM may be helpful in terms of relief of cancer-related symptoms, but it is not shown to be effective at slowing disease progression or curing cancer.

The findings did not clearly show the correlations between CAM use and sociodemographic factors such as income, married status, health insurance, and use of support groups. However, previous studies on CAM use by women with breast cancer revealed that women who had higher education and were younger appeared to use CAM more than those who had less education and were older. Therefore, healthcare providers may use this information when assessing the use of CAM by women with breast cancer. Other sociodemographic factors such as income, marital status, health insurance, and support group involvement should be considered for future research as well.

In addition, the lack of information about why women with breast cancer do not disclose the use of CAM to healthcare providers or use them as their primary sources of information about CAM needs additional study. Qualitative studies could be used to explore the perspectives of individual decision making and reasoning (Adler, 1999; Verhoef, Balneaves, Boon, & Vroegindeweey, 2005).

All of the studies in this literature review used self-report by the patients. Such a method influences the quality of findings. Consequently, randomized, controlled trials are needed to provide information so that patients will be able to make informed choices for their treatment (Yap et al., 2004). This literature review also revealed that, at the present, a standard tool to measure CAM use has not yet been developed. As a result, conducting research to develop a tool for evaluating CAM use would be helpful. As mentioned previously, the development and application of a nomenclature for naming of types of CAM also are recommended for standardization of terms for future studies.

The available empirical research used in this literature review included only articles published in English, and more than 50% of the studies were conducted in the United States. Consequently, additional research with various ethnic groups in the United States and in other parts of the world would provide additional information about CAM use by women with breast cancer.
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