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Purpose/Objectives: To describe behavioral adjustment in children and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and to determine whether behavioral adjustment is correlated with cognitive and academic abilities.

Design: Descriptive, cross-sectional design.

Setting: Two pediatric oncology treatment centers.

Sample: 47 children and adolescents who had been receiving ALL therapy for at least one year or who were off therapy for no more than three years and their parents and teachers. Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children–Revised (WISC-R) and Wide Range Achievement Test–Revised (WRAT-R) data were available on a subset of 17 subjects.

Methods: Parent, teacher, and self-report Behavioral Assessment System for Children (BASC) ratings were used to measure behavioral adjustment. WISC-R measured cognitive abilities, and WRAT-R measured academic abilities. Demographic, family, and treatment-related data also were collected.

Main Research Variables: Behavioral adjustment and cognitive and academic abilities.

Findings: At least 20% of teacher ratings for somatization, learning problems, leadership, and study skills; parent ratings for somatization, adaptability, attention problems, withdrawal, anxiety, social skills, and depression; and self-report ratings for anxiety and attitude to school were in the at-risk range (i.e., presence of significant problems that require treatment). The majority of teacher BASC ratings were correlated significantly with WISC-R and WRAT-R scores. Self-report depression and social stress ratings were correlated significantly with some WISC-R and WRAT-R scores. Treatment-related experiences such as body image alterations and mental and emotional problems were associated with problematic behaviors, including depression, somatization, withdrawal, and social stress.

Conclusions: Youth with ALL are at risk for some behavioral adjustment problems, particularly anxiety, somatization, adaptability, attention, and withdrawal. Cognitive and academic abilities are associated with some dimensions of behavioral adjustment.

Implications for Nursing: Findings suggest the need for ongoing assessment of behavioral adjustment and cognitive and academic abilities of children with ALL. Behavioral interventions that target at-risk mannerisms, such as somatization, depression, anxiety, and social stress, are needed. Central nervous system treatment may contribute to behavioral adjustment problems, as well as to cognitive and academic problems. Strategies to improve academic abilities also may have a positive effect on behavioral adjustment.

Key Points...

- Children and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia experience specific behavioral adjustment problems, including somatization, attention, adaptability, and learning problems; and anxiety.
- Children and adolescents who experience central nervous system treatment-related cognitive and academic problems may experience behavioral adjustment problems.
- Body image alterations during treatment may increase the risk for behavioral adjustment problems.
- Ongoing assessment of specific areas of behavioral adjustment is warranted, and interventions that target these at-risk areas are needed.

One in every 333 children in the United States develops cancer before the age of 20, which translates into 12,400 new cases of cancer each year (Ries et al., 1999). Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), the most common pediatric tumor, comprises 2,400 of these 12,400 new...
cancer cases. Five-year disease-free survival rates for children with cancer are 77% overall and 85% for patients with ALL (Jemal et al., 2003). Using current incidence and survival rates among children with cancer in the United States alone, approximately 400,000 human years are being saved annually; by 2010, 1 in 250 young adults will be a childhood cancer survivor (Bleyer, 1993, 1995). Aggressive therapies have led to dramatic improvements in survival, but they also have raised concerns about the impact of pediatric cancer and its treatment on quality of life and behavioral adjustment.

The primary aim of this study was to describe the behavioral adjustment of children and adolescents with ALL using teacher, parent, and self-report measures. The intent was to measure adaptive and problematic behaviors. All subjects received some type of central nervous system (CNS) treatment to decrease the risk of meningeal disease. The late effects of CNS treatment on cognitive and academic abilities have been reported since the 1980s (Kramer & Moore, 1989; Moore, Glasser, & Ablin, 1988; Moore, Kramer, & Ablin, 1986). Survivors of ALL who experience CNS late effects also may be at risk for behavioral adjustment problems. However, little is known about potential links between cognitive or academic abilities and behavioral adjustment. Therefore, a secondary aim of the study was to investigate relationships between behavioral adjustment scores and cognitive and academic abilities in a subset of subjects for whom these data were available (Moore et al., 2000).

**Background and Literature Review**

Deficits in academic abilities following CNS treatment with whole-brain radiation have been well established (Appleton, Farrell, Zaide, & Rogers, 1990; Cousins, Ungerer, Crawford, & Stevens, 1991; Silber et al., 1992). Because of the overwhelming evidence that whole-brain radiation causes significant neurotoxicity, the majority of current CNS treatment regimens for children with ALL include intrathecal chemotherapy alone or in combination with intermediate- to high-dose systemic methotrexate. Findings suggest that chemotherapy-based CNS treatment regimens also are associated with academic problems (Armstrong, Blumberg, & Toledano, 1999; Mulhern, Armstrong, & Thompson, 1998), especially mathematics (Brown et al., 1996; Copeland, Moore, Francis, Jaffe, & Culbert, 1996; Moore et al., 2000).

Behavioral and emotional problems, including withdrawal, depression, anxiety, and attention problems, have been reported among children with ALL (Anderson, Smibert, Ekert, & Godber, 1994; Fossen, Abrahamsen, & Storm-Mathisen, 1998; Sharan, Mehta, & Chaudhry, 1999). Several studies determined that long-term survivors of childhood cancer experience a greater number of problems with social competence and more symptoms of depression compared to healthy children and siblings (Cavusoglu, 2001; Olson, Boyle, Evans, & Zug, 1993; Pendley, Dahlquist, & Dreyer, 1997; Sharan et al.).

Behavioral-rating scales and systematic observation have been used since the 1980s to assess behavioral adjustment; however, psychometric testing of instruments was limited (Shapiro & Kratochwill, 2000). More recently, comprehensive psychometric testing of instruments has been performed, and several excellent nationally standardized measures for assessing behavior in children and adolescents are available.

According to Merrell (2000), “Behavior-rating scales provide summative judgments of general types of behavioral characteristics that may have occurred in a variety of settings and over a long period of time” (p. 204). Behavioral-rating scales with forms for multiple respondents allow researchers to identify problematic behavior under specific conditions. Significant behavior problems tend to be expressed consistently in different surroundings or situations and with different measurement tools (Merrell). Self-report scales complement informant behavior scales and typically measure children’s and adolescents’ emotional or behavioral adjustment in domains such as internalizing problems, externalizing problems, or school maladjustment (Eckert, Dunn, Codding, & Guiney, 2000).

**Methods**

**Design and Sample**

A descriptive, cross-sectional design was used. To be eligible to participate, patients had to be receiving ALL therapy for at least one year or be off therapy for no more than three years, report no CNS or bone marrow relapse, and be able to speak English. Children and adolescents who had not completed their first year of treatment were excluded to minimize the potential confounding effects of acute physical and emotional stress associated with a new cancer diagnosis and the consolidation phase of therapy. Individuals with CNS or bone marrow relapse were excluded because of more aggressive therapy or decreased probability for long-term survival. All eligible children and adolescents with ALL and their parents were recruited from two pediatric oncology treatment centers. The goal was to obtain a representative sample of children and adolescents with the same cancer diagnosis who had received relatively similar treatment. Institutional review committee approvals were obtained prior to subject recruitment and data collection.

**Instruments**

The Behavioral Assessment System for Children (BASC) (Reynolds & Kamphaus, 1992) was used to measure behavioral adjustment. BASC is a reliable and valid measure consisting of scales that provide a comprehensive behavioral assessment of children and adolescents (see Table 1). BASC was selected because it provides an assessment of risk (internalizing problems, externalizing problems, school problems, and behavioral symptoms) as well as positive factors (adaptive skills). According to Merrell (2000), “As a thorough and comprehensive system of behavior-rating scales, the BASC is representative of the best of what is currently available” (p. 215). BASC teacher, parent, and child and adolescent self-report forms were used in this study. Content validity was established by expert judgment. Construct validity was established by determining factor structure of the scales and by correlations with other behavioral-rating scales, including the Child Behavior Checklist, Personality Inventory for Children—Revised, Conners’ Parent-Rating Scales, and the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory. Reliability was established by tests of internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and interrater reliability (Reynolds & Kamphaus).

The BASC teacher- and parent-rating scales include items regarding observations of negative and positive behavioral performance. Separate forms target three age levels: preschool (4–5 years), child (6–11 years), and adolescent (12–18 years). Therefore, BASC is developmentally sensitive and...
has normative data for males and females in each of the three age levels. The parent and teacher forms provide composite scores for adaptive skills, externalizing problems, internalizing problems, and behavioral symptoms. The teacher form also includes a school problems composite. Composite scores are based on relevant scales. The internalizing composite is comprised of anxiety, depression, and somatization scales; the externalizing composite is comprised of aggression, hyperactivity, and conduct problems scales. The behavioral symptoms index is a measure of the overall level of problem behavior and is based on scores from the aggression, hyperactivity, anxiety, depression, attention problems, and atypicality scales. All scale, composite, and index scores are T scores with a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10.

The child and adolescent self-report of personality has forms at two age levels: child (8–11 years) and adolescent (12–18 years). Items elicit information regarding children’s or adolescents’ self-perception and emotional status. The self-report form provides composite scores for personal adjustment, clinical maladjustment, school maladjustment, and the emotional symptoms index. Each composite is made up of relevant scales. The school maladjustment composite includes attitude to school, attitude to teachers, and sensation-seeking scales; the clinical maladjustment composite is based on anxiety, atypicality, locus of control, social stress, and somatization scales. The emotional symptoms index is comprised of scores from social stress, anxiety, interpersonal relations, self-esteem, depression, and sense of inadequacy scales. These scale, composite, and index scores are T scores with a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10.

Higher scale, composite, and index scores for negative behaviors (e.g., internalizing problems, externalizing problems, school problems) represent negative or undesirable characteristics; T scores greater than 60 (one or more standard deviation) are in the at-risk range. At risk is used to indicate the presence of significant problems that require treatment but may not be severe enough to warrant a formal diagnosis. A score in the at-risk range may signify potential or developing problems that require careful monitoring (Reynolds & Kamphaus, 1992). Higher scores on scales that measure personal adjustment (parent and teacher forms) or adaptive skills (child or adolescent form) represent positive or desirable characteristics. For these scales, T scores less than 40 (one or less standard deviation) are considered at risk.

Demographic (i.e., date of birth, gender, ethnicity, grade in school) and treatment-related (i.e., diagnosis, diagnosis date, CNS treatment) data were obtained for all subjects. Parents were asked whether their family had experienced residence changes, family problems, the death of a friend or family member, divorce, serious illness, or job loss during the past year because these experiences could influence behavioral adjustment. Parents were asked about treatment-related problems experienced by their child or adolescent. Treatment-related problems included mental or emotional problems during treatment, body image alterations during treatment, and energy to stay in school.

Data about general intellectual and academic abilities were available for a subset of subjects (n = 17) who also participated in a study of the cognitive and academic effects of CNS treatment for childhood ALL (Moore et al., 2000). Intellectual abilities were assessed using the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children–Revised (WISC-R) (Wechsler, 1974). Overall intellectual abilities were measured by the WISC-R Full Scale Intelligence Quotient (FSIQ). Verbal intellectual skills were measured by the WISC-R Verbal IQ (VIQ), and nonverbal or performance-based intellectual abilities were measured by the
WISC-R Performance IQ (PIQ). IQ scores have a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 15. The Wide Range Achievement Test–Revised (WRAT-R) was used to assess academic achievement (Jastak & Wilkinson, 1984). Standard scores (X = 100, SD = 15) from the reading, spelling, and arithmetic subtests were used. The number-questions subscale of the McCarthy Scales of Children’s Abilities (McCarthy, 1972) was used to measure emergent math skills in children younger than the age of five at the initial (seven months after ALL diagnosis) evaluation.

Data Analysis

BASC, demographic, treatment, treatment-related problems, and family data were analyzed using descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation). Percentages were used to summarize teacher, parent, and self-report scores in the at-risk range. Pearson correlation was used to examine relationships between behavioral adjustment and intellectual and academic abilities measured 45 months after ALL diagnosis; Pearson correlation also was used to examine relationships between behavioral adjustment and family or treatment-related problems. Level of significance was set at p = 0.05.

Results

Sample

The final sample was comprised of 47 children with ALL. Informed consent and assent were obtained from subjects and parents; written permission to contact teachers for data collection also was obtained. The average age of subjects at the time of data collection was 9 years, 11 months (range = 5.2–16 years), and the mean length of time since diagnosis was 40.4 months (SD = 21.8). The majority of children were Caucasian (60%); other ethnic groups were Hispanic (27%), Filipino (4%), African American (2%), Asian (2%), and other (5%). Fifty-six percent of the subjects were female. CNS treatment consisted of triple intrathecal chemotherapy (methotrexate, cytosine arabinoside, and hydrocortisone) (n = 31), intrathecal methotrexate (n = 15), or intrathecal chemotherapy and whole-brain radiation (n = 1). The majority of parents had completed high school, and approximately 50% of mothers and fathers had undergraduated, graduate, or postgraduate college education.

Data regarding intellectual abilities and academic achievement were obtained 7 and 45 months after ALL diagnosis on a subset of 17 children (10 females and 7 males). CNS treatment for this subset included triple intrathecal chemotherapy (n = 9) or intrathecal methotrexate (n = 8); one child received 24 Gy of whole-brain radiation in combination with intrathecal methotrexate. The mean age of this subset of participants was 10.4 years (range = 6.7–16 years), and the average length of time since diagnosis was 54.7 months (SD = 19.1). All of the fathers and 71% of mothers had graduated from high school; 64% of fathers and 50% of mothers had undergraduated, graduate, or postgraduate college education.

Behavioral Adjustment

BASC teacher, parent, and child or adolescent composite and index scores are summarized (mean, standard deviation, and range) in Table 2. Scale scores in which 20% or more of T scores fell in the at-risk range (60 or more for maladaptive and 40 or less for adaptive behaviors) also are included.

Approximately 22% of children and adolescents received teacher ratings on the internalizing problems composite in the at-risk range. This finding was primarily a result of ratings on the somatization scale because 39% of children and adolescents received at-risk scores. The adaptive skills composite summarizes prosocial, organizational study, and other adaptive skills (Reynolds & Kamphaus, 1992). According to teacher ratings, approximately 18% of patients with ALL received at-risk ratings on the adaptive skills composite, particularly in the areas of leadership and study skills (21% and 24% at-risk scores, respectively). Finally, teachers rated 25% of subjects in the at-risk range for learning problems. According to Reynolds and Kamphaus, a score of 60 or higher on the learning problems scale indicates a need for careful investigation of academic skills.

According to parent ratings, 44% of their children or adolescents were at risk for internalizing problems and 29% were at risk for adaptive skills problems. Only 17% of children and adolescents received high scores on the behavioral symptoms composite, which is an indication of the overall level of problematic behavior. At least 20% of scores on 7 of the 12 parent-rating scales (somatization, adaptability, attention problems, withdrawal, anxiety, social skills, and depression) were in the at-risk range.

Fewer child and adolescent self-report scores were in the at-risk range. Approximately 16% of self-report scores for the clinical maladjustment composite, emotional symptoms index, and school maladjustment composite were 60 or greater. Only 4 (anxiety, somatization, attitude to school, and self-esteem) of the 14 self-report scales had at least 20% of scores in the at-risk range.

Behavioral Adjustment and Cognitive Abilities

Mean FSIQ, PIQ, and VIQ scores obtained 7 and 45 months after ALL diagnosis were within one standard deviation of the mean (85–115) for children who received triple intrathecal chemotherapy or intrathecal methotrexate (Moore et al., 2000). FSIQ, PIQ, and VIQ scores obtained from the child who received cranial radiation were much lower and had declined dramatically from the initial evaluation completed prior to radiation treatment (126 to 71 for FSIQ, 109 to 69 for PIQ, and 114 to 77 for VIQ). Table 3 summarizes the correlations between cognitive abilities and behavioral adjustment.

FSIQ, PIQ, and VIQ scores were correlated significantly with teacher ratings on learning problems, attention problems, leadership, and study skills scales. Correlations ranged from r = –0.78 (for scales with maladaptive behaviors) to r = 0.87 (for scales with adaptive behaviors). Based on these significant correlations, the finding that the school problems composite, which reflects academic problems including problems of motivation, attention, learning, and cognition, was correlated strongly with FSIQ, PIQ, and VIQ scores was not surprising. The adaptive skills composite was correlated significantly with FSIQ and PIQ scores but not with VIQ scores (see Table 3).

Parent ratings of attention problems were significantly correlated with FSIQ, PIQ, and VIQ scores. FSIQ and PIQ scores were correlated highly with the social skills scale and the adaptive skills composite. Only the child and adolescent self-report depression and social stress scale scores were correlated strongly with FSIQ scores (r = –0.62 and –0.67, respectively) and PIQ scores (r = –0.74 and –0.87, respectively); however,
not all correlations achieved statistical significance because of sample size limitations.

### Behavioral Adjustment and Academic Abilities

A significant decline in academic arithmetic was found from the 7- to the 45-month evaluation for children who received triple intrathecal chemotherapy (X decline = 10 points) and for those who received intrathecal methotrexate (X decline = 8.9 points). Mean reading and spelling scores at the 45-month evaluation were 92.3 (± 14.2) and 93.3 (± 18.4), respectively, in the triple intrathecal chemotherapy group and 95.5 (± 16.7) and 94.1 (± 12.8), respectively, in the intrathecal methotrexate group. Reading, spelling, and arithmetic scores obtained at the 45-month evaluation were much lower (55 in all areas) for the child who received intrathecal methotrexate and whole-brain radiation. Table 4 summarizes correlations between BASC scale or composite scores and WRAT-R standard scores.

WRAT-R reading, spelling, and math scores were correlated negatively with teacher ratings of learning problems and positively correlated with teacher ratings of leadership. Academic abilities (especially reading and spelling) were correlated with teacher ratings of study skills, attention problems, school problems, adaptive skills, and behavioral symptoms. In general, a trend existed for correlations between parent ratings of behavioral adjustment and academic abilities. However, the correlations did not achieve statistical significance with the exception of attention problems and reading (r = -0.59) and spelling (r = -0.61). Importantly, negative correlations were found between academic abilities and child and adolescent self-report scores on social stress, depression, and attitude to school. However, only correlations between social stress and reading (r = -0.81) and spelling (r = -0.84) achieved statistical significance.

### Family Problems and Treatment-Related Problems

Seventeen of the 47 respondents reported experiencing no family problems during the prior year; one family did not respond to these questions. Seventeen families experienced at least one problem, including residence change, death of a family member or friend, divorce, serious illness, or job loss. Eight families experienced two of these problems, and three families reported experiencing three problems. According to parents, only two (9%) children did not have energy to stay in class. However, a greater percentage experienced physical problems (n = 14, 30%), mental or emotional problems (n = 12, 26%), and body image alterations (n = 26, 55%) during treatment. A “yes” response to mental and emotional problems during treatment was correlated significantly with BASC teacher or parent ratings of depression, learning problems, attention problems, withdrawal, leadership, and study skills (r = 0.32-0.48, p = 0.05). A “yes” response to body image alterations during treatment was correlated significantly with child and adolescent self-ratings on somatization, social stress, depression, interpersonal relations, clinical maladjustment composite, and emotional symptoms index (r = 0.44-0.59, p = 0.01).
Findings from this study suggest that children and adolescents who are receiving or recently completed ALL treatment may be at risk for some behavioral adjustment problems. These children and adolescents appear to be particularly vulnerable to internalizing problems, specifically somatization, depression, anxiety, and withdrawal. Boekaerts and Roer’s (1999) report of studies on stress, coping, and adjustment indicates that children with a chronic disease, including cancer, have more behavior problems compared to children without chronic disease, normative groups, and children with acute non–life-threatening illnesses. The increased behavior problems primarily are related to a higher level of internalizing problems, especially depression, somatic complaints, social withdrawal, and high anxiety. Researchers also have reported somatic complaints in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. Mulhern, Wasserman, Friedman, and Fairclough (1989) found that school problems and somatic complaints were increased fourfold relative to age-and gender-adjusted rates for peer groups in the general population. Somatic complaints could be related to other late effects of treatment and have been attributed to hypochondriacal tendencies or functional impairments (Mulhern et al., 1989). The significant correlations between body image alterations during treatment and self-report measures of behavioral adjustment found in the current study corroborate previous findings.

### Table 3. Correlations Between Behavioral Assessment System for Children Scores and Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children–Revised Intelligence Quotient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Full Scale Intelligence Quotient</th>
<th>Performance Intelligence Quotient</th>
<th>Verbal Intelligence Quotient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher report</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning problems scale(^a)</td>
<td>−0.78</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>−0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention problems scale(^a)</td>
<td>−0.66</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership scale</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study skills scale</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School problems composite(^a)</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive skills composite</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent report</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention problems scale(^a)</td>
<td>−0.79</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>−0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social skills scale</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral symptoms index(^a)</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive skills composite</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child and adolescent report</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression scale(^a)</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social stress scale(^a)</td>
<td>−0.67</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Higher composite or scale scores indicate more problems.
ns—not significant

### Discussion

Findings from this study suggest that children and adolescents who are receiving or recently completed ALL treatment may be at risk for some behavioral adjustment problems. These children and adolescents appear to be particularly vulnerable to internalizing problems, specifically somatization, depression, anxiety, and withdrawal. Boekaerts and Roer’s (1999) report of studies on stress, coping, and adjustment indicates that children with a chronic disease, including cancer, have more behavior problems compared to children without chronic disease, normative groups, and children with acute non–life-threatening illnesses. The increased behavior problems primarily are related to a higher level of internalizing problems, especially depression, somatic complaints, social withdrawal, and high anxiety. Researchers also have reported somatic complaints in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. Mulhern, Wasserman, Friedman, and Fairclough (1989) found that school problems and somatic complaints were increased fourfold relative to age-and gender-adjusted rates for peer groups in the general population. Somatic complaints could be related to other late effects of treatment and have been attributed to hypochondriacal tendencies or functional impairments (Mulhern et al., 1989). The significant correlations between body image alterations during treatment and self-report measures of behavioral adjustment found in the current study corroborate previous findings.

### Table 4. Correlations Between Wide Range Achievement Test–Revised and Behavioral Assessment System for Children Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Spelling</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Math</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher report</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning problems scale(^a)</td>
<td>−0.91</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>−0.88</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>−0.61</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership scale</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study skills scale</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention problems scale(^a)</td>
<td>−0.80</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>−0.81</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School problems composite(^a)</td>
<td>−0.86</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>−0.86</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive skills composite</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral symptoms index</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>−0.67</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent report</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention problems scale(^a)</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>−0.61</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child and adolescent report</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social stress scale(^a)</td>
<td>−0.81</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.84</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression scale(^a)</td>
<td>−0.53</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude to school(^a)</td>
<td>−0.64</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Higher composite or scale scores indicate more problems.
ns—not significant
In the present study, 18% of child and adolescent self-report scores were in the at-risk range for depression; high depression scores were correlated significantly with lower academic arithmetic abilities and with FSIQ and PIQ scores. Cavusoglu (2001) reported that children with cancer had significantly higher depression scores than a comparison group of healthy children and that 22% of children with cancer had scores of 19 (the cutoff point for depression) or higher on the Children’s Depression Inventory. These findings underscore the need to assess for depression in cancer survivors. The current study’s findings also suggest a relationship between CNS treatment-related late effects and depressive symptoms, as well as body image changes during treatment and depression.

Approximately 15% of teacher and parent behavioral symptoms index (overall level of problem behavior) ratings in the present study were in the at-risk range. This finding is in agreement with those of other researchers who reported that parents and teachers described an increased rate of clinically significant behavioral problems in children who have survived cancer. One study reported that 14% of parent ratings and 7% of teacher ratings on the Child Behavior Checklist met the criteria for poor adjustment (Newby, Brown, Pawletko, Gold, & Whitt, 2000). Butler, Rizzi, and Bandilla (1999) assessed psychological functioning in 88 children on (n = 24) or off cancer therapy (n = 64) using the Personality Inventory for Children and the Child Behavior Checklist. They found that, on average, 19% of participants were identified as having an adjustment problem on any one of the scales of the Personality Inventory for Children that measured self-control, social incompetence, internalization or somatic symptoms, and cognitive development. The most common difficulties were in the areas of cognition, somatic complaints, anxiety, and family cohesiveness. Twelve percent of the sample had adjustment difficulties according to the Child Behavior Checklist (Butler et al.). Olson et al. (1993) reported that cancer survivors who resided in a rural setting were four times more likely than their age- and gender-matched school peers to have social competence scores (parent ratings) below the normal range. In the present study, 24% of parent social skills ratings and 22% of self-ratings were in the at-risk range for depression; high depression scores were correlated significantly with lower academic arithmetic abilities and with FSIQ and PIQ scores. PIQ was a significant predictor of social competence, cognitive development, and withdrawal. Teacher ratings of learning problems, school problems, leadership, study skills, and attention problems also were correlated significantly with performance on a standardized measure of academic abilities (Butler et al.). The current study’s findings suggest a link between intellectual abilities (that may be affected adversely by CNS treatment) and school problems, such as the ability to understand and complete schoolwork, organizational skills and study habits, the ability to concentrate, and the ability to successfully interact with peers and teachers.

Body image changes during treatment, academic abilities, and BASC self-report of social stress and depression. Eighteen percent of subjects had self-report scores in the at-risk range for depression, and 16% of self-report scores were in the at-risk range for social stress. To the researchers’ knowledge, the current study is the first to examine relationships among behavioral adjustment (parent, teacher, and self-report ratings), body image alterations, and cognitive and academic abilities following CNS treatment for childhood ALL.

Previous studies of outcomes from CNS treatment for ALL have not investigated relationships between cognitive or academic abilities and specific areas of behavioral adjustment. Thus, findings from this study are preliminary and warrant replication. Future studies are needed to determine predictive relationships among these variables.

In summary, these findings suggest that, although the majority of children with cancer are not at risk for significant behavioral adjustment problems, specific areas of concern exist. Scales in which at least 25% of scores from teacher, parent, or self-ratings were at risk were somatization, adaptability, attention problems, withdrawal, anxiety, social skills, and learning problems. These areas are potential targets for behavioral and cognitive intervention strategies. Body image alterations may increase the risk for behavioral adjustment problems in children and adolescents with ALL. CNS treatment-related declines in cognitive and academic abilities were correlated with social stress and depression among cancer survivors. This is a preliminary finding on a relatively small sample, but it also underscores the need for interventions designed to improve outcomes from CNS treatment.

**Limitations**

These findings were based on data collected from two pediatric oncology treatment centers. Subjects were treated according to Children’s Cancer Group or Pediatric Oncology Group protocols, and treatment intensity varied across protocols. These limitations must be considered with respect to the generalizability of these findings. Oral glucocorticoids (e.g., dexamethasone, prednisone) are used routinely as part of ALL treatment. Data were not collected regarding type or dose of oral glucocorticoids; however, the researchers recognize that this also could affect behavioral adjustment and cause body image alterations. Data about intrathecal hydrocortisone was collected; the number of intrathecal hydrocortisone doses was not correlated with BASC, WISC-R, or WRAT-R variables.

**Future Directions**

Based on an average age of 5 years at the time of cancer diagnosis and 77 years as the projected length of life, 72 years of every childhood cancer survivor’s life are influenced by late effects of cancer and its treatment (Bleyer, 1993). According to Bleyer (1990), the dramatic improvement in survival from childhood cancer is worthwhile only if the quality of survival justifies the increased prolongation of life. Childhood cancer survivors have not lived long enough in adequate numbers to accurately document the overall long-term impact of late effects on quality of life. However, this population continues to increase and currently outnumber other pediatric populations experiencing chronic conditions related to hearing, visual, or orthopedic impairments (Peckham, 1991; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1997). Recognition is increasing that CNS treatment is associated with late effects that adversely impact behavioral adjustment, as well as cognitive and academic abilities. Future studies that characterize patterns of deficits and measure the efficacy of interventions designed to improve behavioral, cognitive, and academic abilities among children receiving CNS treatment for cancer are needed.

**Author Contact:** Ida M. (Ki) Moore, RN, DNS, FAAN, can be reached at kmoore@nursing.arizona.edu, with copy to editor at rose_mary@earthlink.net.
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For more information...

➤ Cancer Source: Follow-Up Care for Childhood Cancer Survivors
www.cancersourcekids.com

➤ Patient-Centered Guides: Childhood Cancer Survivors
www.patientcenters.com/survivors

➤ The Children’s Cause
www.childrenscause.org

Links can be found using ONS Online at www.ons.org.