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Key Points...

➤ Risk models can be used to predict a patient’s likelihood of developing therapy-related adverse events.
➤ Nurses who are familiar with evidence-based risk models may be better able to prevent or more effectively ameliorate serious adverse events associated with prevention, treatments, conditions, and diseases.
➤ Risk assessment to identify patients who are most likely to benefit from supportive care options can lead to cost-effective use and improved clinical outcomes.

Definitions of Risk in Clinical Practice

Risk generally is categorized as either relative or absolute. A glossary of basic statistical terms is provided in Figure 1. Relative risk (RR) compares the occurrence or likelihood of an outcome among people exposed to a given risk factor (i.e., a characteristic, behavior, or exposure related to the outcome) with the occurrence or likelihood of the outcome among people who lack exposure to the risk factor. The odds ratio (OR) is another measure for comparing risk and, for rare events, is similar to RR. An OR is calculated in logistic regression equations and is a typical measure of risk in meta-analyses. Although RR has value for describing risk at the population level (Claus, 2000), the goal of risk prediction in most clinical situations is to assess the absolute risk (AR) for a patient. This sometimes can be called incidence; it is the predicted probability that a person will experience an outcome in a specified time. Estimates of AR can help healthcare workers make key decisions about the use and effectiveness of interventions (Claus).

Various statistical methods (e.g., multivariate logistic regression, Cox proportional hazards modeling) have been developed to estimate risk. Based on information about the