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The five-year survival rate for African Americans with stage I or II non-small cell lung cancer is 36% compared with 42% for Caucasians (Farjah et al., 2009). Much of this disparity is because fewer African Americans undergo surgical resection than Caucasians (Farjah et al., 2009). Racial differences in resection rates persist independent of age, gender, cancer stage, income, and comorbidities (Farjah et al., 2009). Among patients who do have surgical resection, five-year survival rates are similar for African Americans and Caucasians.

Whether the lower resection rate for African Americans is because surgery is offered less often, because patients are less willing to undergo resection, or both is unclear. At least one study suggested that minority patients receive too few referrals for resection surgery (Lathan, Neville, & Earle, 2006). However, an earlier multicenter survey reported that 14% of African Americans but only 5% of Caucasians said they would reject a physician’s recommendation for lung cancer surgery (Margolis et al., 2003). The authors identified that participants’ belief that air exposure during surgery caused tumor spread was an important impediment to the adoption of aggressive lung cancer treatment for early-stage disease, especially among African American patients (19%) compared with Caucasians (5%). The racial differences were independent of income, education, gender, and other covariables. That one belief may explain, in part, why African Americans accept lung cancer surgery at lower rates than Caucasians. However, the lower rate of lung cancer resection surgery among African Americans remains poorly understood.

The authors believed that the issue might benefit from further in-depth exploration with qualitative methods. Therefore, the current authors followed the initial survey with focus groups to achieve a more comprehensive understanding of how beliefs and preferences inform health-management behaviors. The authors believed that a focus group methodology offered several advantages. First, in the previous work, qualitative approaches provided invaluable information regarding how patients reached decisions about asthma (George, Birck, Hufford, Jemmott, & Weaver, 2003).