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All cancers and related treatments have the potential to affect sexuality and sexual function through the impaired body function, altered body image, or from emotional and psychological distress that often accompanies diagnosis and treatment (Park, Norris, & Bober, 2009; Rice, 2000). Some treatments used in hematology-oncology (i.e., high-dose chemotherapy, total body irradiation, and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation) have known effects on gonadal function that can impact sexual desire and function (Yi & Syrjala, 2009). However, research on the impact of diagnosis and treatment on sexuality has predominately been completed in diagnostic groups such as patients with breast or prostate cancer or under the umbrella of the generic category of cancer. With a few exceptions (Beckjord, Arora, Bellizzi, Hamilton, & Rowland, 2011; Richards, Bertolotti, Doss, & McCullagh, 2011), little exploration has been done regarding sexuality in patients with hematologic malignancies.

The current article contributes to the literature by sharing findings on the impact of diagnosis and treatment on sexuality for patients with a hematologic malignancy gathered from a study on survivorship conducted throughout Queensland, Australia. Although sexuality is considered a sensitive topic, the participants in the study discussed sexuality as part of their survivorship experience and many noted an appreciation for the opportunity to talk about such issues in the research. The findings shared in the current article have direct and important relevance to the work of oncology nurses who act as support for individuals.
I totally lost any sexual urge. It probably, you know, affected my wife more than me in the short term because I just, just didn’t feel like it.

We’d had a very good relationship up until this point; this is like a sexual relationship. Our physical relationship, if you like, up to this point it’s been good but now you know there’s pretty much no physical relationship, you know, in that way.

Yeah, it’s a big issue actually because, well, sexually up until this happened I myself, I was like any, a normal man, you know. I was not easily aroused or anything like that, but I mean, you know, it was just a normal part of life. Since I’ve got [ill] and all the rest, it’s just got worse and worse. Right now, I have no libido whatsoever. Yeah, the desire’s there but the body doesn’t translate. My body just doesn’t want to work anymore. Maybe if you say a normal man’s 100%, I’m probably about 5%.

Yeah, I just knew what happened to me. I was a sexually active person before I had cancer but now it’s like not even interested.

It’s like a sort of paralysis from the waist down and that is really awful.

That’s definitely an area that took its toll on me. [Sex] can be there in the mind, but the body doesn’t want to respond to.

The skin on my penis has [gotten] very brittle. Yeah, . . . [there’s] been times when we’ve done it and it’s been extremely painful. There’s something in the way of having sex because of the pain. It’s quite foreign to me and I’m still working my way through that.

I do remember asking the girls once that I was, it was painful at times to have sex, and they suggested lubricant.

I didn’t get a period and so I, yeah, basically I had the hot flushes and all of those lovely things. So then they actually put me straight [on] hormone replacement, which was just pills. I’ve been on them ever since.

He had a really glum face and [the doctor] said, “Aw, right, yeah . . . you’re in full menopause.”

Methods

The research project was a collaborative initiative of the Leukemia Foundation of Queensland and the International Program of Psycho-Social Health Research. The aim of the research was to document and explore issues associated with the experience of survivorship for patients with a hematologic malignancy. That population’s experience of the impact of diagnosis and treatment on sexuality was one of the issues explored through the research.

A full discussion of the research methodology is provided in McGrath, Hartigan, Holewa, and Skaparis (2011, 2012). The qualitative design of the study was conducted through a series of open-ended interviews and one focus group discussion, which were recorded, transcribed verbatim, coded, and thematically analyzed for the research. Ethical approval from the Central Queensland University Human Research Ethics Committee was obtained for the study, and individual consent was recorded.

All participants had to meet the criteria for survivorship: adults with hematologic malignancies at least one year postdiagnosis. Potential participants (N = 118) were contacted to take part in the research; 14 declined to participate and 54 could not be contacted (because of changes in contact information). In total, 50 participants (26 men and 24 women) represented the major hematologic diagnostic groups: multiple myeloma (n = 15), lymphoma (n = 14), leukemia (n = 17), and other (n = 4).

Overall, 11 participants had a bone marrow transplantation and 15 had a stem cell transplantation (allogeneic and autologous transplantations). Because of the unique geography, population, and services provision patterns in Queensland, Australia, a customized regional classification system was designed to ensure that the purposive sample included participants with varying levels of access to hematologic services based on their home address. The sample also ensured a representation of varying ages across the adult life span. Thirty-two of the codes related to participants’ experiences with the impact of diagnosis and treatment on their sexuality, forming the basis for the findings presented in the article.

Results

Participants’ descriptions of the impact of the disease and treatment on their sexuality was a continuum with some experiencing no problems or none that were an important issue.
No, it’s not an issue. Sex-wise, it sort of went right out the door . . . it’s only been probably . . . the last three months [partner] and I started having sex again and it was the last thing on my mind.

Others described a brief impact that passed in time. I was, like, losing my hair, and I gained a lot of weight. Everything got better, my hair started to grow back, so that period in time passes, [the negative impact on sexuality] was only that period in time.

Still, for some participants, difficulties with their sexuality were noted as the most troubling of all issues associated with the disease and treatment.

My sexuality is not good. Well, I suppose it’s probably one of my most troubling issues . . . and I don’t know if you’ve talked about that to others, but that’s definitely an area that’s taken a toll on me.

A range of physical problems in relation to sexuality were stressful, such as low libido, erectile dysfunction (ED), physical changes to genitals, and early menopause (see Figure 1). Those physical problems translated to emotional and relationship problems. For those diagnosed at a young age, finding a partner could be a problem, and ED and low libido could contribute to a sense of failure and depression. A major concern was the impact on their relationship with a significant other (see Figure 2). For participants with those problems associated with sexuality, a range of responses existed for possible strategies to address concerns and seek help (see Figure 3). However, some concerns were noted that the issue of sexuality was somewhat taboo and not readily discussed by doctors. For example, one participant said, “I guess a lot of medical people don’t necessarily talk to their patients about it.”

Requests were made for more information and support services to be available to assist individuals coping with sexuality problems associated with diagnosis and treatment. Regarding this, one participant said, “I’d be interested to know if there’s any more research so that you can find out. I like to know why things happen and that.”

**Discussion**

According to Hordern and Street (2007), diagnosis and treatment for cancer alter intimate and sexual aspects of patients’ lives regardless of their age, gender, culture, type of cancer, or partnership status. The findings presented in the current article contradict those conclusions by indicating that the impact of the disease and treatment on sexuality was a continuum that ranged from experiencing no problems, having a brief impact that passes over time, to those who reported serious problems that significantly affected their life satisfaction. In the current study, some participants felt comfortable dealing with the problems by themselves and did not seek assistance. Therefore, a significant practical implication of the findings is that the assumption that all individuals diagnosed with a hematologic
malignancy will have difficulties with their sexuality and will require follow-up or assistance is incorrect.

Although the findings highlight a continuum of responses, some participants’ difficulties with sexuality were described as the most troubling of all issues associated with the disease and treatment. Research by Jonker-Pool et al. (2004) affirmed that not only do male patients with cancer appreciate being asked whether they need information and support concerning sexuality, but that the information and support are particularly relevant for patients who suffer from sexual dysfunction. Therefore, the second practical implication of the findings is that difficulties regarding sexuality will be serious for a subset of individuals diagnosed with a hematologic malignancy, and who will require understanding, support, and for some, referral to a specialist for follow-up for their problems.

A range of physical problems was experienced as stressful in relation to sexuality for both men and women including low libido, ED, physical changes to genitals from treatment, and facing early menopause. The physical problems can translate into emotional and relationship problems, such as difficulties finding a partner when diagnosed at a young age, a sense of failure, depression, stress, and possible breakdown of existing relationships. A major concern was the impact on the partner relationship. The findings specific to hematology resonate with the broader literature on patients with cancer that record the complex effects of treatment on sexuality and the negative effect on sexual desire and function (Galbraith & Crighton, 2008; Kaplan & Pacelli, 2011; Reese, 2011). A caveat to that discussion is demonstrated by research by Recklitis, Sanchez Varela, Ng, Mauch, and Bober (2010), which found no differences in sexual problems or sexual satisfaction for patients with Hodgkin lymphoma when compared with a set of controls. In addition, the research demonstrated that, in the long term, survivors’ sexual functioning did not differ from the non-cancer control group; consistent with the message from the current study that some, but not all patients, will experience problems with sexuality.

For those with problems associated with sexuality, a range of possible strategies for either addressing concerns or seeking help existed. In terms of assistance, a strong partner relationship based on unconditional acceptance, support, and finding other ways to express physical affection was important. Some of the men in the study indicated that the use of pharmaceuticals for assistance with erectile problems was helpful. Bruner and Calvano (2007) reported that many pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions exist to treat erectile function; however, success rates are variable and long-term compliance is generally low.

An emerging body of literature documents the importance of providing the opportunity for patients to discuss issues of intimacy and sexuality with their health professionals (Hordern & Street, 2007). However, the current study’s findings indicate that major obstacles still exist in regard to accessing appropriate professional support and advice. Understandably, some participants were unsure of the benefits of seeking assistance. That finding resonates with Southard and Keller’s (2009) work, which stated that physicians often fail to recognize the importance of sexuality when assessing their patients. Although only a small minority of patients with cancer has issues of sexuality addressed by health professionals, many patients wished someone had discussed this topic with them (Southard & Keller, 2009). Research by Hautamäki, Miettinen, Kellokumpu-Lehtinen, Aalto, and Lehto (2007) revealed that discussions on those issues

---

**Figure 3. Varied Strategies for Dealing With Sexual Problems Associated With Diagnosis and Treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relying on Strong Relationships</th>
<th>Not at this point. I suppose I talk to my wife a lot and, as I said, she’s been through it herself so, you know, we discuss a lot of it.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Talking Openly</td>
<td>I talked about it and found solutions. These things are available with my [general practitioner].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting Professionals</td>
<td>Yes, well I asked my specialist about it. I’m always up front about everything.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treating Erectile Dysfunction With Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>But with my doctor, through him, we’ve [gotten] in touch with a [man] who deals with this sort of thing; it’s a drug, you know it’s an injection. You inject it yourself into your penis and hey, presto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I mean they did talk to me about [sildenafil] and stuff like that, I’ve never tried it, I’ve never done that. I think I can successfully take it but I’ve never done that.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are uncommon with 98% of the healthcare professional respondents in their study; many participants stated that they talked about those issues with less than 50% of their patients. Only 35% initiated these discussions. Initiating discussions on the impact of treatment on sexuality is noted as potentially uncomfortable for healthcare professionals and patients (Kaplan & Pacelli, 2011). Indeed, as Rice (2000) argued, issues relating to sexuality are among the most poorly addressed topics in cancer care and possibly can be attributed to a lack of knowledge and expertise. Therefore, the third practical implication of the findings is that expecting issues of sexuality to be routinely addressed by the treating hematologist is unrealistic. As the key factor documented for not raising the issue of sexuality is lack of training (Hautamaki et al., 2007), one way forward to improve the situation is for more intensive education on how to open discussions on this sensitive topic. The BETTER model (Bring up sexuality; Explain role of sexuality in quality of life; Tell about and facilitate resources; Timing critical; Educate patient and partner; Record in health record) as presented by Lee (2011), is a helpful and concise model for basing assessment and intervention regarding sexuality for patients with cancer. The findings presented in the current article indicate that many patients would be appreciative of nurses’ efforts to communicate on that topic.

Implications for Nursing

The findings indicate that not all patients with a hematologic malignancy will experience problems with sexuality because of diagnosis and treatment. For those who do experience problems, they can be acute and require access to a range of follow-up support such as counselling and referral to specialist advice, including the possibility of pharmaceutical assistance. Oncology nurses who provide much of the clinical and psychosocial care of patients with hematologic malignancies are well placed to engage in discussion on sexuality. The research indicates that patients are not likely to have issues of sexuality addressed by their medical practitioners or specialists. The discussion of sexuality is a sensitive, but appreciated, topic that requires skills and ongoing training.

Conclusion

For a subgroup of individuals diagnosed with a hematologic malignancy, the provision of assistance in dealing with sexual problems associated with diagnosis and treatment is an important component of follow-up care. Oncology nurses who are well placed in relation to their provision of holistic patient care can provide active listening, information, support, and suggest follow-up medical assistance if necessary.
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For Further Exploration

Use This Article in Your Next Journal Club Meeting

Journal club programs can help to increase your ability to evaluate the literature and translate those research findings to clinical practice, education, administration, and research. Use the following questions to start the discussion at your next journal club meeting. At the end of the meeting, take time to recap the discussion and make plans to follow through with suggested strategies.

1. Is sexuality still taboo among healthcare providers? Does a difference exist between type of provider (e.g., nurse versus physician)?
2. How comfortable are you talking about sexuality with your patients? How about your nursing and physician colleagues?
3. What do you think the biggest challenges are in raising this topic with patients and colleagues?
4. The current study suggests that not all patients with hematologic cancer have difficulties with this aspect of life, contrasting other studies that found that it does. Is there something inherent in the current study that may have led to this finding and how do you go about making sure that this does not prevent you from making a mistake with your patients?

Visit www.ons.org/Publications/VJC for details on creating and participating in a journal club. Photocopying of this article for discussion purposes is permitted.

Author Sheds New Light on Topics Discussed in This Article

With a simple click of your computer mouse, listen as Oncology Nursing Forum Associate Editor Diane G. Cope, RN, PhD, ARNP-BC, AOCNP®, interviews Pam D. McGrath, BSocWk, MA, PhD, a senior research fellow at Griffith University in Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

McGrath’s research examines psychosocial issues in relation to serious illness, including work in hematology and oncology, indigenous health, pediatrics, mental health, bioethics, renal transplantation, palliative care, and regional and rural health. She has written five books and more than 180 peer-reviewed articles.

To listen to the podcast, visit www.ons.org/Publications/ONF/Features/Podcast.