This material is protected by U.S. copyright law. Unauthorized reproduction is prohibited. To purchase quantity reprints, please e-mail reprints@ons.org or to request permission to reproduce multiple copies, please e-mail pubpermissions@ons.org.

# Continuing Education

# Breast Cancer Lymphedema: Pathophysiology and Risk Reduction Guidelines

Sheila H. Ridner, MSHA, MSN, RN, ACNP

**Purpose/Objectives:** To review the normal physiology of the blood capillary-interstitial-lymphatic vessel interface, describe the pathophysiology of lymphedema secondary to treatment for breast cancer, and summarize the physiologic bases of the current National Lymphedema Network (NLN) risk reduction guidelines.

**Data Sources:** Journal articles, anatomy and physiology textbooks, published research data, and Web sites.

Data Synthesis: Lymphedema occurring after treatment for breast cancer significantly affects physical, psychological, and sexual functioning. About 28% of breast cancer survivors develop lymphedema. When arterial capillary filtration exceeds lymphatic transport capacity, lymphedema occurs. NLN risk reduction guidelines may decrease lymphedema risk.

**Conclusion:** Lymphedema is chronic and disfiguring. Most NLN risk reduction guidelines, although not evidencebased, are based on sound physiologic principles. Evidence-based research of the effectiveness of NLN risk reduction guidelines is indicated.

**Implications for Nursing:** Until evidence-based research contradicts NLN's risk reduction guidelines, nurses should inform patients with breast cancer about their risk for lymphedema, guidelines to reduce that risk, and the physiologic rationale for the guidelines.

**B** reast cancer is the most common cancer in women, with an estimated 203,500 new invasive cases diagnosed in 2002 (American Cancer Society [ACS], 2002). Although breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer deaths in women, with an estimated 39,600 deaths in 2002, death rates have declined during the past decade, with the largest decline in younger women (ACS). Five-year relative survival rates by stage at time of diagnosis now are 96% for local stage tumors, 78% for regional stage tumors, and 21% for metastatic breast cancer (ACS). These statistics suggest that a growing number of women will survive breast cancer. About 2.5 million breast cancer survivors live in the United States (Col et al., 2001).

Lymphedema is a serious problem for many breast cancer survivors. Lymphedema results from an imbalance in capillary filtration and lymph drainage (Ramos, O'Donnell, & Knight, 1999), which leads to collection of fluid and protein in the extravascular and interstitial spaces of the affected limb. Axillary lymph node dissection, radiation therapy, and postsurgical in-

# Key Points . . .

- Lymphedema is a serious problem for many of the 2.5 million breast cancer survivors in the United States.
- Upper extremity lymphedema that develops subsequent to treatment for breast cancer is thought to be related to the extent of axillary involvement, type of breast surgery, and radiation treatment that includes lymph nodes in the radiation field.
- Despite the lack of evidence-based research on lymphedema, most National Lymphedema Network risk reduction guidelines have a solid foundation in physiology and theoretically reduce the risk.

#### Goal for CE Enrollees:

To enhance nurses' knowledge about breast cancer lymphedema.

#### **Objectives for CE Enrollees:**

On completion of this CE, the participant will be able to 1. Describe the pathophysiologic processes leading to de-

- velopment of lymphedema after breast cancer treatment. 2. Discuss physiologic bases for National Lymphedema
- Network risk reduction guidelines.
- 3. Discuss the nursing implications in the care of patients with breast cancer.

fections appear to be contributing factors (Coward, 1999). Lymphedema can occur during treatment or many years later (Ramos et al.; Stanton, Levick, & Mortimer, 1997) and often is chronic and disfiguring. Prevalence of lymphedema appears to be influenced by type of breast cancer treatment (Hull, 2000)

Sheila H. Ridner, MSHA, MSN, RN, ACNP, is a PhD candidate in the School of Nursing at Vanderbilt University in Nashville, TN. This research was funded by National Research Service Award #1 F31 NR07854-01. (Submitted September 2001. Accepted for publication April 11, 2002.)

Digital Object Identifier: 10.1188/02.ONF.1285-1293

and is about 28% (Logan, 1995), with a range of 6.7%-62.5% reported in the literature (Passik & McDonald, 1998). Women with lymphedema view it as a constant reminder of cancer, may feel less sexually attractive, lose fine motor movement in the affected extremity, and modify their wardrobes to accommodate enlarged arms (Carter, 1997). They may be forced to change jobs because of their inability to lift, may require assistance dressing, often limit social and recreational activities, and may experience depression (Passik & McDonald). Tobin, Lacey, Meyer, and Mortimer (1993) found that women who experience lymphedema may suffer negative psychosocial effects, such as depression, anger, change in body image, disturbance in sexual relationships, social avoidance, and poor adjustment to cancer. They may see the affected limb as ugly and deformed (Farncombe, Daniels, & Cross, 1994). Woods, Tobin, and Mortimer (1995) administered the Psychological Adjustment to Illness Scales to 100 women, 50 with lymphedema and 50 without lymphedema, and reported that six months after lymphedema treatment, 81% of women with lymphedema experienced poorer psychosocial adjustment in one or more domains: healthcare orientation; vocational, social, and domestic environment; sexual relations; extended family relations; and psychological distress. Passik, Newman, Brennan, and Holland (1993), in a case presentation of a 55-year-old breast cancer survivor with lymphedema, reinforced that depression and overall limited functional ability may occur in some women who develop lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer.

Pain also may be associated with lymphedema. Newman, Brennan, and Passik (1996) reported pain in 34.8% of patients undergoing rehabilitation for lymphedema and maintained that pain was correlated highly with distress, impaired functioning, and decreased libido. Additionally, heaviness, swelling, pain, and physical disfigurement in the affected limb may limit physical activities (Newman et al.; Passik & McDonald, 1998).

Clearly, lymphedema exacts tremendous psychological and physical costs on breast cancer survivors who experience it. Nurses who work with women with breast cancer must be prepared to educate them adequately about the risk of developing lymphedema, explain precautions that may reduce that risk, and urge them to seek care promptly if they experience symptoms of lymphedema. To best accomplish these goals, oncology nurses must understand the normal physiology of the blood capillary-interstitial-lymphatic vessel interface and the pathophysiology subsequent to treatment for breast cancer that leads to the development of lymphedema. The purposes of this article are to review the normal physiology of the blood capillary-interstitial-lymphatic vessel interface, describe the pathophysiology of lymphedema secondary to treatment for breast cancer, and summarize the physiologic bases of the current National Lymphedema Network (NLN) risk reduction guidelines.

## Blood Capillary-Interstitial-Lymphatic Vessel Interface

The blood capillaries and lymphatic system provide mechanisms for fluid exchange at the blood capillary-interstitiallymphatic vessel interface (see Figure 1). Under normal circumstances, filtration pressures at the arterial side of a capillary force fluid and protein into the interstitium and reabsorption pressures pull most of the fluid back into the capillary at the venous side. The remainder of the filtered fluid and protein are removed by lymphatic vessels. Without a functioning lymphatic system, protein, cells, fat, and nonreabsorbed fluid remain in the interstitium and cause death within about 24 hours (Guyton & Hall, 2000).

Four primary pressures influence the movement of fluid into the interstitium from the arterial side of the capillary: capillary pressure, interstitial fluid pressure, plasma colloid osmotic (i.e., oncotic) pressure, and interstitial fluid colloid osmotic (i.e., oncotic) pressure (see Table 1). Capillary pressure, negative interstitial fluid pressure, and interstitial fluid colloid osmotic pressure collectively exert about 41 mmHg outward pressure, and plasma colloid osmotic pressure exerts 28 mmHg inward pressure, resulting in a net filtration pressure of 13 mmHg from the arterial side of the capillary (Guyton & Hall, 2000). Although most capillary pores are too small for protein to pass through along with fluid out of the capillary, some pores are large enough to allow small amounts of protein into the interstitium.

Reabsorption of fluid from the interstitium takes place at the venous side of the capillary. Capillary pressure, negative interstitial fluid pressure, and interstitial fluid colloid osmotic pressure exert about 21 mmHg outward pressure, and plasma colloid osmotic pressure exerts 28 mmHg inward pressure, resulting in a net venous reabsorption pressure of 7 mmHg (Guyton & Hall, 2000). Ninety percent of the fluid filtered into the interstitium from capillaries is reabsorbed into the venous side. The remaining 10% of fluid and protein is removed from the interstitium by small, terminal lymphatic vessels.

Interstitial proteins and fluid easily enter the lymphatic system through lymphatic vessel anchoring filaments that are attached to both the endothelial cells in the vessels and surrounding connective tissue. These edges overlap, creating small valves that open into capillaries, which allows entry of protein (Guyton & Hall, 2000). Once inside a lymphatic vessel, these one-way valves provide a mechanism to return protein through the lymphatic vessels to the venous system. The small vessels in the interstitium empty into a series of deeper vessels that, in turn, empty into larger lymphatic trunks that, in the case of the arm, run through nodes in the axilla and finally drain into the left thoracic duct or right lymphatic duct (see Figure 2) (Mellor et al., 2000). Fluid and proteins are pumped through lymphatic vessels by three mechanisms: contraction of segments between each valve that, when full, push lymph to the next segment; compression of vessels from external forces such as contraction of surrounding muscle tissue, extremity movement, and arterial pulsation; and contractile actomyosin filaments in the lymphatic vessel endothelial cells (Guyton & Hall). The left thoracic duct drains lymph from the entire left side of the body, the right leg, and the entire abdomen into the left subclavian vein (Seeley, Stephens, & Tate, 2001). The right lymphatic duct drains lymph from the right side of the head and neck, right side of the thorax, and right arm into the right subclavian vein, right internal jugular vein, and right brachiocephalic vein (Seeley et al.).

Many factors influence the forces that maintain fluid equilibrium at the blood capillary-interstitial-lymphatic vessel interface. Any condition that increases arterial capillary pressure, interstitial fluid proteins, or capillary permeability or decreases plasma colloid osmotic pressure alters equilibrium and increases fluid movement out of arterial capillaries into the interstitium. Occlusions or damage to either the venous side of capillaries or to the lymphatic system may decrease reabsorption or lymphatic vessel drainage of fluid and protein, causing lymphedema.

ONF - VOL 29, NO 9, 2002



Figure 1. Blood Capillary-Interstitial-Lymphatic Vessel Interface

## Pathophysiology of Lymphedema After Treatment for Breast Cancer

Upper extremity lymphedema that develops after treatment for breast cancer is thought to be related to the extent of axillary node involvement, type of breast surgery, and radiation treatments that include lymph nodes in the radiation field. Healthcare professionals widely believe that lymphedema is less likely to occur with less extensive axillary node involvement, more conservative breast surgery, and a lack of radiation to lymph nodes (Meek, 1998; Velanovich & Szymanski, 1999). Damage to the axillary lymphatic system by surgery, nodal resection, radiation, and infection leads to decreased lymphatic drainage and stasis of fluid in that extremity. Recent research has suggested that alterations in hemodynamic factors, if they are not primary causes of lymphedema, contribute to sustaining lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer (Mortimer, 1998). Thus, to understand potential risk factors of lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer, nurses must consider damage to the lymphatic system and hemodynamic factors.

### Damage to Lymphatic Structure and Tissues

Various types of damage to the lymphatic system may occur during or after treatment for breast cancer.

- Surgical removal of nodes and breast tissue and tied off lymph vessels obstruct drainage channels and diminish the carrying capacity of the lymphatic system.
- Scarring and fibrosis of lymph structures and surrounding tissues secondary to radiation obstruct lymphatic drainage, damage lumens of lymphatic trunks, and alter cell membranes of lymphatic vessels.
- Infection may result in fibrosis of lymph structures and surrounding tissues and further diminish the transport capacity of an already-compromised lymphatic drainage system.

These sources of damage render the lymphatic system unable to transport the normal amount of fluid and protein from the affected area. Such damage is believed to be the primary reason that lymphedema occurs after treatment for breast cancer (Foldi, Foldi, & Clodius, 1989).

Pathologic changes in the lymphatic system have been documented by Koshima, Kawada, Moriguchi, and Kajiwara (1996), who studied 14 patients with lymphedema, six of whom had postmastectomy lymphedema and were undergoing microsurgical lymphaticovenous anastomoses (i.e.,

#### Table 1. Pressures at the Blood Capillary-Interstitial-Lymphatic Vessel Interface

| Allelial side                               |         |
|---|---------|
| Filtration pressures                        |         |
| Capillary pressure                          | 30 mmHg |
| Negative interstitial fluid pressure        | 3 mmHg  |
| Interstitial fluid colloid osmotic pressure | 8 mmHg  |
| Total outward pressure                      | 41 mmHg |
| Plasma colloid osmotic pressure             | 28 mmHg |
| Total inward pressure                       | 28 mmHg |
| Net arterial filtration pressure            | 13 mmHg |
| Venous Side                                 |         |
| Reabsorption pressures                      |         |
| Plasma colloid osmotic pressure             | 28 mmHg |
| Total inward pressure                       | 28 mmHg |
| Capillary pressure                          | 10 mmHg |
| Negative interstitial fluid pressure        | 3 mmHg  |
| Interstitial fluid colloid osmotic pressure | 8 mmHg  |
| Total outward pressure                      | 21 mmHg |
| Net venous reabsorption pressure            | 7 mmHg  |
|   |         |

RIDNER – VOL 29, NO 9, 2002

Artorial Sido





insertion of a graph from lymph nodes or vessels to the nearby venous system) (Brennan & Miller, 1998). In one case of postmastectomy lymphedema, some degeneration of smooth muscle cells at the lymphatic trunk was found. In a second case, dilatation and partial loss of the truncal media was found in one trunk and small recanalized channels in another trunk in the same limb. As stated earlier, muscle contraction propels lymph forward into the venous system; damage to the musculature of the lymphatic trunks, as described by Koshima et al., may diminish the effectiveness of lymphatic drainage, resulting in accumulation of fluid and protein in interstitial spaces.

Damage to the blood capillary-interstitial-lymphatic vessel interface also has been found in breast cancer survivors who do not have lymphedema. Two years after conclusion of treatment in women who had modified radical mastectomies, removal of nodes, and radiation therapy but no lymphedema, lymphoscintigraphy (i.e., radiographic visualization of lymph structures after injection of a radiotracer) revealed decreased isotope carrying times in 60% of the subjects and decreased axillary storage in 90%, indicating lymphatic damage (Goltner, Gass, Haas, & Schneider, 1988). Additionally, 30% of the women had lymphostasis of the upper arm and 40% had absent axillary nodes. In the same study, two years after treatment, 92% of women with lymphedema had delayed lymph carrying times, 100% had altered axillary storage, 70%-85% had lymphostasis of the upper arm, and 66% had absent axillary nodes (Goltner et al.). The study suggested that damage to the lymphatic system might be present in almost all women who have breast surgery and opened to scientific debate what conditions may cause some women to develop and other women not to develop lymphedema.

Radiation therapy increases the risk of developing lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer about twofold (Bourgeois, Fruhling, & Henry, 1983; Isaksson & Feuk, 2000; Mortimer et al., 1996; Tengrup, Tennvall-Nittby, Christiansson, & Laurin, 2000). Radiation may not damage lymph vessels directly but may cause fibrosis of surrounding tissues that results in constriction of vessels and delays growth of new lymphatic vessels in damaged tissues (Fajardo, 1994). Lymph nodes, however, frequently are damaged directly by radiation. Nodal lymphocytes are depleted and replaced by fatty deposits. Fibrosis of the nodes develops over time, preventing passage and filtration of lymph fluid. Both processes inhibit the lymphatic system's ability to transport lymph into the venous system. The inhibition of new vessel growth may account for early onset lymphedema after breast irradiation, and fibrosis may contribute to later onset lymphedema (Meek, 1998).

Infection and inflammation are believed to damage the lymphatic system and trigger lymphedema (Harwood & Mortimer, 1995; Rockson, 2001). Infection in an affected limb often is difficult to treat because of lymphostasis and may cause fibrosis, which further compromises the lymphatic drainage system (Meek, 1998). Inflammation caused by a process such as cellulitis or trauma to lymph structures also contributes to the development of fibrosis. Such fibrosis may occlude lymph vessels and decrease lymph transport capacity. Danese, Howard, and Bower (1962), in studies of dogs undergoing transection of the posterior thigh, documented that infection in surgical wounds permanently damaged the lymph transport system. Segerstrom, Bjerle, Graffman, and Nystrom (1992) found a strong association between lymphedema and recurrent infections in a study of 136 women who had undergone treatment for breast cancer. In the study, nine participants reported a history of soft tissue infection in the affected arm and eight of those nine (89%) developed lymphedema.

#### **Hemodynamic Factors**

Increased arterial flow: Studies suggest that breast cancer treatment may cause increased arterial blood flow. Using Doppler ultrasound, Svensson, Mortimer, Tohno, and Cosgrove (1994) identified the presence of increased arterial flow to affected arms after breast cancer surgery. Doppler ultrasound measured the mean arterial blood flow in 76 subjects who had undergone surgical treatment for breast cancer, 50 with and 26 without lymphedema. Affected arms were compared to nonaffected arms in both groups. Data indicated a 38% mean increase of arterial blood flow in nonswollen affected limbs when compared to contralateral limbs and a 68% increase in swollen limbs. Although the cause of increased arterial flow has yet to be identified definitively, Mortimer (1998) speculated that vasodilatation of vessels or formation of new blood vessels were potential contributing factors, citing previous research findings that local vasodilator control was impaired in postmastectomy lymphedema, without sustained vasodilation and increased blood capillary density in swollen limbs (Roberts et al., 1994). If increased arterial pressure occurs in an affected arm after treatment for breast cancer, the increased filtration rate of fluid and protein from the arterial side of capillaries could raise the interstitial fluid level. To prevent lymphedema, this fluid would require either reabsorption into the venous end of capillaries or transport by lymphatic drainage. A damaged lymphatic system could not transport additional fluid; therefore, lymphedema would occur.

Impaired venous return: Obstruction by fibrosis in the axillary collateral venous systems of women with upper extremity lymphedema was documented in a classic article by Hughes and Patel (1966). Various degrees of obstruction were found with radiation therapy and infections suspected to be contributing factors. Surgical removal of fibrosis resulted in reduction of arm lymphedema. More recently, in a study of 81 women, 70% had abnormal venous return in the affected limb (Svensson et al., 1994). Inadequate reabsorption of fluid would result in a rise in interstitial fluid, alter venous capillary-interstitial-lymphatic vessel interface pressures, and cause lymphedema. This finding has been the subject of much debate in the literature. Martin and Foldi (1996) presented data, contrary to the findings of Svensson et al., that axillary-subclavian occlusion occurred in only 14.5% of 40 women with lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer. Martin and Foldi stated that no concrete evidence was found to suggest that an impaired venous system contributes to the development of lymphedema. Although controversial, the influence of an impaired venous return warrants further investigation. **Changes in Colloid Osmotic Pressure** 

Historically, lymphedema occurring after breast cancer treatment has been viewed as high protein edema because of the increased amount of protein that remains in the interstitium because of impaired lymphatic transport. However, research conducted by Bates, Levick, and Mortimer (1993) on 51 women who had surgery or radiation for breast cancer and developed lymphedema found otherwise. In the study, limb size, interstitial fluid composition, serum protein content, colloid osmotic pressure, interstitial fluid pressure, and arterial and venous pressures were measured in both swollen and normal arms of each subject. Protein was found to be more concentrated in the nonswollen limbs' interstitial fluid than in the lymphedematous limbs-an unexpected finding (Bates et al., 1993, 1994). The researchers concluded that pressures opposing filtration were less than venous pressure in normal limbs and that interstitial osmotic pressure was reduced in swollen arms. To explain the results, the authors hypothesized that a rise in arterial capillary blood pressure increased microvascular fluid filtration, actual capillary permeation of plasma protein was reduced, or degradation of interstitial protein by macrophages increased (Bates et al., 1994). In response, Foldi (1995) questioned the findings based on the methodology used in the study. Mortimer, Levick, and Stanton (1995), however, defended the study methods, maintaining that they were scientifically sound and that further investigation was indicated. In a subsequent study, increased microvascular filtration was not identified in lymphedematous limbs (Stanton, Holroyd, Mortimer, & Levick, 1999) and the researchers softened their stance that it contributed to the development of lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer.

#### Table 2. Grades of Lymphedema

| Grade | e Physiologic Changes in Arm   |  |
|-------|--|--|
|       | Swelling and pitting with applied pressure                               |  |
| II    | Firm swelling, no pitting under pressure, skin changes,<br>and hair loss |  |
|       | Swelling, thick skin, and large skin folds                               |  |

- Absolutely do not ignore any slight increase of swelling in the arm, hand, fingers, or chest wall. Consult with your doctor immediately.
- Never allow an injection or a blood drawing in the affected arm(s). Wear a LYMPHEDEMA ALERT bracelet.
- Have blood pressure checked on the unaffected arm or on the leg (thigh) if bilateral lymphedema/at-risk arms.
- Keep the edemic or at-risk arm(s) spotlessly clean. Use lotion after bathing. When drying it, be gentle but thorough. Make sure it is dry in any creases and between the fingers.
- Avoid vigorous, repetitive movements against resistance with the affected arm (e.g., scrubbing, pushing, pulling).
- Avoid heavy lifting with the affected arm. Never carry heavy handbags or bags with over-the-shoulder straps on your affected side.
- Do not wear tight jewelry or elastic bands around affected fingers or arm(s).
- Avoid extreme temperature changes when bathing or washing dishes, and it is recommended that saunas and hot tubs be avoided (at least keep arm out of the hot tub). Protect the arm from the sun at all times.
- Try to avoid any type of trauma (e.g., bruising, cuts, sunburn or other burns, sports injuries, insect bites, cat scratches) to the arm(s). (Watch for subsequent signs of infection.)
- Wear gloves while doing housework, gardening, or any type of work that could result in even a minor injury.
- When manicuring your nails, avoid cutting your cuticles. Inform your manicurist.
- Exercise is important, but consult with your therapist. Do not overtire an arm at risk: If it starts to ache, lie down and elevate it. Recommended exercises: walking, swimming, light aerobics, bike riding, and specially designed ballet or yoga. Do not lift more than 15 pounds.
- When traveling by air, patients with lymphedema (or who are at risk) must wear a well-fitted compression sleeve. Additional bandages may be required on a long flight. Increase fluid intake while in the air.
- Patients with large breasts should wear light breast prostheses (heavy prostheses may put too much pressure on the lymph nodes above the collar bone). Soft padded shoulder straps may have to be worn. Wear a well-fitted bra: not too tight, ideally with no underwire.
- Use an electric razor to remove hair from axilla. Maintain electric razor properly, replacing heads as needed.
- Patients with lymphedema should wear a well-fitted compression sleeve during all waking hours. At least every four to six months, see your therapist for follow-up. If the sleeve is too loose, most likely the arm circumference has reduced or the sleeve is worn.
- Warning: If you notice a rash, itching, redness, pain, or increase of temperature or fever, see your physician immediately. An inflammation (or infection) in the affected arm could be the beginning or worsening of lymphedema.
- Maintain your ideal weight through a well-balanced, low-sodium, high-fiber diet. Avoid smoking and alcohol. Lymphedema is a high protein edema, but eating too little protein will not reduce the protein element in the lymph fluid; rather, this may weaken the connective tissue and worsen the condition. The diet should contain easily digested protein (e.g., chicken, fish, tofu).

# Figure 3. National Lymphedema Network Risk Reduction Guidelines

*Note.* Guidelines courtesy of the National Lymphedema Network. Reprinted with permission.

RIDNER – VOL 29, NO 9, 2002

Once these pathologic processes produce lymphedema, if left untreated, it may progress through three grades of severity (see Table 2) (Pain & Purushotham, 2000). Initially, in grade I, a limb swells and pits with pressure. Elevation may relieve swelling. In grade II, a limb becomes firmer but does not pit and skin changes may be noted. In grade III, elephantiasis results in very thick skin and large skin folds. All grades diminish the level of functioning of an affected limb, making prevention of lymphedema a clinical priority in the care of breast cancer survivors. Early diagnosis and treatment may prevent progression of lymphedema to grades II and III.

# Physiologic Basis for Risk Reduction Guidelines

Both the blood capillary-interstitial-lymphatic vessel interface and lymphatic structures apparently are compromised by treatment for breast cancer; additional stress to these compromised systems, such as infection or inflammation, contributes to the development of lymphedema. NLN developed 18 risk reduction guidelines (see Figure 3) to decrease the risk of lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer (NLN, 2001). Although NLN states that anecdotal reports support the guidelines, it acknowledges having been criticized for not having evidence-based support for them (NLN). Despite the lack of evidence-based research, most of the guidelines have a solid foundation in physiology and would, if followed, decrease the risk of injury to affected arms and theoretically reduce the risk of developing lymphedema (see Table 3). The guidelines aim to prevent infection, decrease trauma to the lymphatic system, and lessen damage to the venous system. As previously discussed, all of these may be factors in the development of lymphedema. For example, guidelines that caution against having blood pressure taken on affected arms, carrying a heavy shoulder-strap purse, wearing tight jewelry, or wearing a heavy prosthesis on the affected side are intended to reduce arterial pressures that may further damage an already-compromised lymphatic system (NLN). Guidelines that encourage meticulous hygiene of affected limbs, protection from sunburn or extreme temperature changes, avoidance of activities that may result in cuts to the skin, and use of electric razors decrease the risk of infection and inflammation. Avoiding venipuncture in affected limbs decreases the risk of inflammation. Encouraging consultation with a healthcare provider immediately if swelling, redness, or pain develop is in keeping with the findings of Ramos et al. (1999) that the smaller the volume of lymphedema present when treatment is started, the better the outcomes of therapy. The guidelines also caution against activities that can increase arterial blood flow, such as the use of hot tubs and excessive movement against resistance, because they may result in increased interstitial fluid.

Although these guidelines may seem restrictive, they are designed to maintain what may be a fragile balance between capillary filtration and lymphatic drainage that exists in the arms of women who have been treated for breast cancer. Research addressing the effectiveness of these guidelines would be helpful if conducted in an ethical manner that did not increase subjects' risk of developing lymphedema. However, until evidence-based research contradicts the effectiveness of these guidelines, nurses should educate patients with breast cancer about their risk for lymphedema, NLN guidelines, and the physiologic rationale behind them.

#### Table 3. Physiologic Basis for Guidelines

|   | Reduced Risk of            |                                |                      |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Guideline   | Infection/<br>Inflammation | Increased<br>Lymphatic<br>Load | Pressure<br>Changesª |
| Report swelling of limb.<br>No blood draw or injec-<br>tion                         | X<br>X                     | -<br>-                         | ×                    |
| No blood pressure<br>Keep arm clean and<br>dry; apply lotion after<br>bathing       | ×                          | X<br>-                         | X<br>-               |
| Avoid vigorous repeti-<br>tive movement<br>against resistance.                      | -                          | Х                              | Х                    |
| No lifting of heavy ob-<br>iects  | -                          | Х                              | Х                    |
| No tight jewelry or elas-<br>tic bands  | -                          | Х                              | Х                    |
| Avoid extreme tem-<br>perature changes.   | -                          | Х                              | Х                    |
| Avoid cuts or abrasions.<br>Do not cut cuticles.                                    | X<br>X                     | -                              | -                    |
| Do not over exercise.<br>Rest arm if it begins to<br>ache.                          | -                          | X<br>X                         | X<br>-               |
| Wear a compression<br>sleeve when flving,   | -                          | -                              | Х                    |
| Wear lightweight breast prostheses.   | -                          | -                              | Х                    |
| Use an electric razor<br>when shaving axilla.                                       | Х                          | -                              | -                    |
| Report rash, itching,<br>pain, redness, or<br>warmth.                               | Х                          | -                              | _                    |
| Maintain ideal body<br>weight; avoid smoking<br>and alcohol.                        | -                          | -                              | Х                    |
| If you have lymphe-<br>dema, wear a com-<br>pression sleeve during<br>waking hours. | -                          | -                              | Х                    |

<sup>a</sup> at blood capillary-interstitial-lymphatic vessel interface

# Conclusion

Oncology nurses should understand the normal physiology of the blood capillary-interstitial-lymphatic vessel interface and the pathophysiologic changes that contribute to the development of lymphedema in women after treatment for breast cancer. With this knowledge, they will be better able to educate their patients. They must inform patients of their lifelong risk of developing this chronic, disfiguring treatment complication and make immediate referrals for treatment should arm swelling be found. Additionally, providing such information to women at risk will enable them to make informed decisions about what, if any, lifestyle changes they need to make to reduce their risk of developing lymphedema.

Author Contact: Sheila H. Ridner, MSHA, MSN, RN, ACNP, can be reached at Sheila.Ridner@vanderbilt.edu, with copy to editor at rose\_mary@earthlink.net.

# References

- American Cancer Society. (2002). Cancer facts and figures 2002. Atlanta, GA: Author.
- Bates, D.O., Levick, J.R., & Mortimer, P.S. (1993). Change in macromolecular composition of interstitial fluid from swollen arms after breast cancer treatment, and its implications. *Clinical Science*, 86, 737–746.
- Bates, D.O., Levick, J.R., & Mortimer, P.S. (1994). Starling pressures in the human arm and their alteration in postmastectomy oedema. *Journal of Physiology*, 477(Pt. 2), 355–363.
- Bourgeois, P., Fruhling, J., & Henry, J. (1983). Postoperative axillary lymphoscintigraphy in the management of breast cancer. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics*, 9, 29–32.
- Brennan, M.J., & Miller, L.T. (1998). Overview of treatment options and review of the current role and use of compression garments, intermittent pumps, and exercise in the management of lymphedema. *Cancer*, 83, 2821–2827.
- Carter, B.J. (1997). Women's experiences of lymphedema. Oncology Nursing Forum, 24, 875–882.
- Col, N.F., Hirota, L.K., Orr, R., Erban, J.K., Wong, J.B., & Lau, J. (2001). Hormone replacement therapy after breast cancer: A systematic review and quantitative assessment of risk. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 19, 2357– 2363.
- Coward, D.D. (1999). Lymphedema prevention and management knowledge in women treated for breast cancer. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 26, 1047– 1053.
- Danese, C., Howard, J.M., & Bower, R. (1962). Regeneration of lymphatic vessels: A radiography study. *Annals of Surgery*, 156, 61–67.
- Fajardo, L.F. (1994). Effects of ionizing radiation on lymph nodes. A review. Frontiers of Radiation Therapy and Oncology, 28, 37–45.
- Farncombe, M., Daniels, G., & Cross, L. (1994). Lymphedema: The seemingly forgotten complication. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 9, 269–276.
- Foldi, E., Foldi, M., & Clodius, L. (1989). The lymphedema chaos: A lancet. Annals of Plastic Surgery, 22, 505–515.
- Foldi, M. (1995). On the pathophysiology of arm lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer [Letter to the editor]. *Lymphology*, 28, 151–156.
- Goltner, E., Gass, P., Haas, J.P., & Schneider, P. (1988). The importance of volumetry, lymphscintigraphy, and computer tomography in the diagnosis of brachial edema after mastectomy. *Lymphology*, 21, 134–143.
- Guyton, A.C., & Hall, J.E. (2000). *Textbook of medical physiology* (10th ed.). Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Harwood, C.A., & Mortimer, P.S. (1995). Causes and clinical manifestations of lymphatic failure. *Clinics in Dermatology*, 13, 459–471.
- Hughes, J.H., & Patel, A.R. (1966). Swelling of the arm following radical mastectomy. *British Journal of Surgery*, 53, 4–14.
- Hull, M.M. (2000). Lymphedema in women treated for breast cancer. Seminars in Oncology Nursing, 16, 226–237.
- Isaksson, G., & Feuk, B. (2000). Morbidity from axillary treatment in breast cancer: A follow-up study in a district hospital. *Acta Oncologica*, 39, 335– 336.
- Koshima, I., Kawada, S., Moriguchi, T., & Kajiwara, Y. (1996). Ultrastructural observations of lymphatic vessels in lymphedema in human extremities. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 97, 397–405.
- Logan, V. (1995). Incidence and prevalence of lymphoedema: A literature review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 4, 213–219.
- Martin, K.P., & Foldi, E. (1996). Are hemodynamic factors important in arm lymphedema after treatment of breast cancer? *Lymphology*, 29, 155–157.
  Meek, A.G. (1998). Breast radiotherapy and lymphedema. *Cancer*, 83, 2788–
- 2797.
- Mellor, R.H., Stanton, A.W., Azarbod, P., Sherman, M.D., Levick, J.R., & Mortimer, P.S. (2000). Enhanced cutaneous lymphatic network in the forearms of women with postmastectomy oedema. *Journal of Vascular Research*, 37, 501–512.
- Mortimer, P.S. (1998). The pathophysiology of lymphedema. *Cancer*, *83*, 2798–2802.
- Mortimer, P.S., Bates, D.O., Brassington, H.D., Stanton, A.W., Strachan, D.P., & Levick, J. (1996). The prevalence of arm oedema following treatment for breast cancer. *Quarterly Journal of Medicine*, 89, 377–380.

- Mortimer, P.S., Levick, J.R., & Stanton, A.W. (1995). Reply to on the pathophysiology of arm lymphedema after treatment for breast cancer. *Lymphology*, 28, 156–158.
- National Lymphedema Network. (2001). *Prevention*. Retrieved August 15, 2002, from http://www.lymphnet.org/prevention.html
- Newman, M.L., Brennan, M., & Passik, S. (1996). Lymphedema complicated by pain and psychological distress: A case with complex treatment needs. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 12, 376–379.
- Pain, S.J., & Purushotham, A.D. (2000). Lymphedema following surgery for breast cancer. *British Journal of Surgery*, 87, 1128–1141.
- Passik, S., & McDonald, M.V. (1998). Psychosocial aspects of upper extremity lymphedema in women treated for breast carcinoma. *Cancer*, 83, 2817– 2820.
- Passik, S.D., Newman, M., Brennan, M., & Holland, J. (1993). Psychiatric consultation for women undergoing rehabilitation for upper-extremity lymphedema following breast cancer treatment. *Journal of Pain and Symp*tom Management, 8, 226–233.
- Ramos, S.M., O'Donnell, L.S., & Knight, G. (1999). Edema volume, not timing, is the key to success in lymphedema treatment. *American Journal of Surgery*, 178, 311–315.
- Roberts, C.C., Stanton, A.W., Pullen, J., Bull, R.H., Levick, J.R., & Mortimer, P.S. (1994). Skin microvascular architecture and perfusion studied in human postmastectomy oedema by intravital video-capillaroscopy. *International Journal of Microcirculation*, 14, 327–334.
- Rockson, S.G. (2001). Lymphedema. American Journal of Medicine, 110, 288–295.
- Seeley, R.R., Stephens, T.D., & Tate, P. (2001). Anatomy and physiology (5th ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Segerstrom, K., Bjerle, P., Graffman, S., & Nystrom, A. (1992). Factors that influence the incidence of brachial oedema after treatment of breast cancer. *Scandinavian Journal of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery and Hand Surgery*, 26, 223–227.
- Stanton, A.W., Holroyd, B., Mortimer, P.S., & Levick, J.R. (1999). Comparison of microvascular filtration in human arms with and without postmastectomy oedema. *Experimental Physiology*, 84, 405–419.
- Stanton, A.W., Levick, J.R., & Mortimer, P.S. (1997). Chronic edema following breast cancer. *Kidney International–Supplement*, 51(59), S76–S81.
- Svensson, W.E., Mortimer, P.S., Tohno, E., & Cosgrove, D.O. (1994). Increased arterial inflow demonstrated by Doppler ultrasound in arm swelling following breast cancer treatment. *European Journal of Cancer*, 30A, 661–664.
- Tengrup, I., Tennvall-Nittby, L., Christiansson, I., & Laurin, M. (2000). Arm morbidity after breast-conserving therapy for breast cancer. Acta Oncologica, 39, 393–397.
- Tobin, M.B., Lacey, H.J., Meyer, L., & Mortimer, P.S. (1993). The psychological morbidity of breast cancer-related arm swelling. *Cancer*, 72, 3248– 3252.
- Velanovich, V., & Szymanski, W. (1999). Quality of life of breast cancer patients with lymphedema. *American Journal of Surgery*, 177, 184–187.

# For more information . . .

- National Lymphedema Network www.lymphnet.org/
- Circle of Hope Lymphedema Foundation www.lymphedemacircleofhope.org/

These Web sites are provided for information only. The hosts are responsible for their own content and availability. Links can be found using ONS Online at www.ons.org.

RIDNER – VOL 29, NO 9, 2002