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K. T., a 76-year-old woman, was diagnosed with stage IIA multiple myeloma in 2007 when she presented with severe back pain. K.T. underwent kyphoplasty, a minimally invasive surgery to relieve compression fractures, followed by eight cycles of lenalidomide with a good response. Peripheral blood stem cells were collected after mobilization with filgrastim and plerixafor. She was admitted to the hospital and conditioned for an autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) with melphalan followed by reinfusion of peripheral blood stem cells, receiving a cell dose of 4.26 x 10⁶ CD₃₄ cells/kg. K.T. developed neutropenic fever on day 8 that responded to broad spectrum antimicrobials. She achieved a prompt hematologic recovery, reaching an absolute neutrophil count greater than 500 mm³ by day 11 after transplantation. On day 9, however, K.T. developed diarrhea, negative for Clostridium difficile, which was managed with antimitoty agents. She was discharged 17 days following transplantation with blood counts within normal limits, except for mild anemia.

On day 19, K.T. presented for follow-up with a pruritic, erythematous rash, 8–10 liquid bowel movements per day, abdominal cramping, pain with defecation, and maroon-colored stool (hematochezia). Symptom onset coincided with a taper of prednisone. Her stool tested positive for C. difficile, despite the completion of a two-week course of oral vancomycin and metronidazole. A clinical diagnosis of autologous graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) was suspected, given the presence of GVHD identified on skin biopsy and symptoms that persisted despite receiving metronidazole and vancomycin. Resistant C. difficile infection or an opportunistic infection could not be excluded without histologic confirmation. Upper endoscopy and flexible sigmoidoscopy at day 58 revealed severe pseudomembranous colitis with severe gastritis in the antrum and body of the stomach. K.T.’s duodenum appeared normal and no apoptosis was identified. At that time, findings did not support a diagnosis of GVHD. No viral inclusions were seen and immunohistochemical stain for cytomegalovirus was negative. With an excess of 10 loose bowel movements daily, K.T. required a short course of total parenteral nutrition for adequate caloric intake. GI symptoms failed to respond to treatment with vancomycin and metronidazole; therefore, prednisone was restarted for a two-week period followed by a successful taper. Total parenteral nutrition was discontinued and K.T. was discharged on day 77 receiving prednisone 10 mg every other day, antimicrobial prophylaxis, and vancomycin.

On day 103 (three days after discontinuation of steroids), K.T. was readmitted with complaints of progressive diarrhea while receiving vancomycin. Subsequent colonoscopy showed thick mucus covering the colonic mucosa and a severely inflamed colon. Pathology showed acute pseudomembranous colitis with foci of acute cryptitis and crypt dropout. Biopsies did not reveal apoptosis, but detachment of fibrinopurulent exudates was noted, consistent with a diagnosis of acute GVHD. Laboratory examination revealed mild anemia, thrombocytopenia, mild elevation in leukocyte count, normal liver function tests, and a negative cytomegalovirus test by polymerase chain reaction analysis. Stool assay tested positive for C. difficile on multiple occasions. High-dose prednisone was reinstated and vancomycin was increased to 500 mg four times daily for 10 days. This was followed by a rapid steroid taper given the marked improvement in symptoms. On completion of taper, intestinal symptoms recurred, prompting readmission for correction of fluid loss. At the time of this writing, K.T. was being considered for alternative therapy in the treatment of recurrent acute GVHD and complicated C. difficile following autologous transplantation for multiple myeloma.

Autologous Graft-Versus-Host Disease

Historically, GVHD has been a complication of allogeneic HCT and a major cause of morbidity and mortality (Drobyski, Hari, Keever-Taylor, Komo, & Grossman, 2009; Holmberg et al., 2006). An estimated 50%–70% of patients develop acute GVHD following allogeneic HCT (Kline, Van Besien, Nathanson, Noffsinger, & Artz, 2006). Holmberg et al. (2006) reported a similar syndrome that exists in the autologous setting after HCT, one that arises as a result of immunologic manipulation (immunosuppression administration and withdrawal to stimulate a graft-versus-tumor effect) or spontaneously without immunosuppressant stimulation. Spontaneous-onset autologous GVHD
Holmberg et al., 2006). Autologous GVHD develops in response to an inappropriate recognition of self-antigens (Hess & Jones, 2004; Tokime, Isoda, Yamanaka, & Mizutani, 2000). First reported in 1987, autologous GVHD is described as a self-limited syndrome with a more mild course than acute GVHD in the allogeneic setting (Hood et al., 1987; Kline et al., 2006). The incidence of autologous GVHD is higher in patients who undergo HCT for multiple myeloma compared to patients who develop GVHD following autologous HCT for acute myelogenous leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, or Hodgkin disease. The risk for developing autologous GVHD among patients who participate in tandem transplantation (12%) for multiple myeloma is higher compared to single HCT (0.9%) (Drobyski et al., 2009; Goddard et al., 2009; Holmberg et al., 2006; Lazarus et al., 2009). Patients with multiple myeloma who develop autologous GVHD after tandem HCT are more likely to have steroid refractory GVHD requiring salvage therapy or prolonged use of corticosteroids (Goddard et al., 2009; Holmberg et al., 2006).

### Key Features of Autologous Graft-Versus-Host Disease

Acute GVHD in the allogeneic and autologous setting involves one or more of the three target organ systems: skin, GI tract, or liver (Goddard et al., 2009; Kline, Subbiah, Lazarus, & Van Besien, 2008). Clinical findings of acute cutaneous GVHD may appear as a maculopapular or pruritic skin rash. Hepatic involvement is manifested by an elevation in bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, or alkaline phosphatase. Acute GVHD involving the GI tract is characterized by profuse, watery diarrhea; nausea; vomiting; abdominal cramping; or bleeding (Drobyski et al., 2009; Goddard et al., 2009; Sica et al., 2000). Using the staging criteria adapted from Prezpiorka et al. (1995), a single grade (see Table 1) is assigned to determine the extent of GVHD involvement (see Table 2). Although acute GVHD is a clinical diagnosis, histologic confirmation is used to corroborate an impression of acute GVHD (Jacobsohn & Vogelsang, 2007). Histologic findings from skin biopsy show perivascular infiltrates, epidermal lymphocyte infiltration, dyskeratosis, and apoptosis or basal cell necrosis (Chao, 2009; Jacobsohn & Vogelsang, 2007). Endoscopy of the GI tract shows edema, mucosal sloughing, or bleeding. Histopathologic diagnosis is characterized by apoptosis, crypt cell necrosis, and dropout with crypt abscess (Chao, 2009; Jacobsohn & Vogelsang, 2007; Shidham et al., 2003). Histologic confirmation of hepatic GVHD is manifested by bile duct damage, epithelial cell dropout, loss of bile ducts, and lymphocyte infiltration (Heymer, Bunjes, & Friedrich, 2002; Jacobsohn & Vogelsang, 2007). The use of biopsy to support clinical findings is appropriate because other conditions often exhibit similar symptoms. Skin rash following autologous HCT may be explained by acute GVHD, drug eruption (particularly from antimicrobials), viral infection, engraftment syndrome (ES), or an eruption of lymphocyte recovery (Inaba et al., 2006; Nellen, Van Marion, Frank, Poblete-Gutierrez, & Steijlen, 2008). The histology of skin rash secondary to acute GVHD is identical to histologic findings of ES.

### Table 1. Organ Staging of Acute Graft-Versus-Host Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Gastrointestinal Tract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No rash from graft-versus-host disease</td>
<td>Bilirubin less than 2 mg per 100 ml</td>
<td>None (less than 280 ml/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Maculopapular rash less than 25% of body surface area without associated symptoms</td>
<td>Bilirubin from 2 mg to less than 3 mg per 100 ml</td>
<td>Diarrhea more than 500–1,000 ml per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Maculopapular rash or erythema with pruritis or other associated symptoms greater than 25% of body surface area or localized desquamation</td>
<td>Bilirubin from 3 mg to less than 6 mg per 100 ml</td>
<td>Diarrhea more than 1,000–1,500 ml per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Generalized erythroderma</td>
<td>Bilirubin 6 mg to less than 15 mg per 100 ml</td>
<td>Diarrhea more than 1,500 ml per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Generalized exfoliative dermatitis or bullous eruption</td>
<td>Bilirubin greater than 15 mg per 100 ml</td>
<td>Diarrhea more than 1,500 ml per day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Table 2. Acute Graft-Versus-Host Disease Grading Using Modified Keystone Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Gut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stages 1–2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>Stage 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Stages 2–3</td>
<td>Stages 2–4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Grade IV may include lesser organ involvement coupled with extreme decrease in performance status.

Differential Diagnoses

Spitzer (2001) proposed a set of criterion to diagnose ES, allowing for differentiation between the two complications of HCT. These include the presence of skin rash independent of medications, noninfectious fever, and histologic evidence of skin GVHD. The presence of dyspnea or pulmonary infiltrate excludes the diagnosis of acute GVHD but correlates with findings of ES (Gorak et al., 2005; Maioilino et al., 2003; Spitzer, 2001). Eruption of lymphocyte recovery is limited to cutaneous involvement, unlike acute GVHD, which may present as multorgan involvement. GI symptoms often require biopsy to distinguish between cytomegalovirus colitis and acute GVHD because the symptomatology may be identical (Chao, 2009; Kline et al., 2006; Shidham et al., 2003) (see Table 3). Incorporating histologic findings, an accurate review of symptoms (including timing of symptom onset), laboratory testing, and medication review decreases inaccurate diagnoses, delayed treatment, and poorer outcomes (Kline et al., 2006; Shidham et al., 2003).

Table 3. Differential Diagnosis for Diarrhea Following Myeloablative Chemotherapy and Autologous Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>Essential Workup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytoxicity as a result of chemotherapy</td>
<td>Stool electrolytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenic enterocolitis</td>
<td>Imaging studies, endoscopy, and biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium difficile infection (most common infection)</td>
<td>C. difficile stool toxin assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral infections, including herpes simplex, cytomegalovirus, adenovirus, and enteric viruses (covackie, echovirus, and rotavirus)</td>
<td>Endoscopy and biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungal colonization</td>
<td>Stool ova and parasites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other bacterial infections, such as Salmonella, Escherichia coli, and Campylobacter</td>
<td>Small bowel aspirate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactivation of parasitic infections (strongyloidais and cryptosporidiosis)</td>
<td>Stool Giardia antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graft-versus-host syndrome</td>
<td>Endoscopy and biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous graft-versus-host disease</td>
<td>Endoscopy and biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal amyloidosis (in patients with primary amyloidosis and multiple myeloma)</td>
<td>Endoscopy and biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose intolerance (secondary to mucosal injury)</td>
<td>Stool electrolytes (differentiate osmolar versus secretory diarrhea), oral breath test, and trial of a lactose-free diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation enteritis</td>
<td>Endoscopy and biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritable bowel syndrome</td>
<td>Diagnosis of exclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal disturbances</td>
<td>Thyroid-stimulating hormone, cortisol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsening of preexisting diseases, including inflammatory bowel disease, celiac disease, and microscopic colitis</td>
<td>Endoscopy and biopsy, serum markers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Treatment of Autologous Graft-Versus-Host Disease

Corticosteroids are the mainstay of treatment for allogeneic and autologous acute GVHD. In contrast to the allogeneic setting, GVHD prophylaxis with immunosuppressant therapy usually is not indicated following autologous transplantation. The exception is the use of immunosuppression as a modulator to induce GVHD in an attempt to gain a graft-versus-tumor effect (Couriel, Caldera, Champlin, & Komanduri, 2004; Kline et al., 2008; Nakamura et al., 1999). Additional research to gain a better understanding on the graft-versus-tumor effect following autologous HCT is warranted.

Among patients who develop GVHD following allogeneic HCT, both single-drug and multimodality regimens have proved successful (Busca et al., 2005; Deeg, 2007). GVHD treatment may include the use of high-dose prednisone (1–2 mg/kg per day), tacrolimus, cyclosporine, colchicine, rapamune, extracorporeal photopheresis, pentostatin, rabbit antithymocyte globulin, or topical therapy (Deeg, 2007; Franchi-mont, 2004). Autologous GVHD has been described as a self-limited syndrome, with favorable results to corticosteroids alone (Deeg, 2007; Hess & Jones, 2004; Kline et al., 2006). Contradictory to previous studies, Drobyksi et al. (2009) reported that patients with autologous GVHD with unsuccessful treatment responses to initial course of corticosteroids are at higher risk for being steroid refractory, therefore necessitating prolonged steroid use or need for salvage therapy (Goddard et al., 2009; Holmberg et al., 2006). These findings suggest poorer outcomes from GVHD in autologous patients than previously described by Hood et al. (1987).

Unresolved Questions

Drobyksi et al. (2009) reported an increased incidence of autologous GVHD in patients who underwent HCT for multiple myeloma compared to other hematologic malignancies. These findings suggest a higher incidence of autologous GVHD in patients who underwent tandem HCT for multiple myeloma within one year from the first transplantation (Drobyski et al., 2009; Goddard et al., 2009). Patients who develop GVHD following tandem HCT may have an altered regulatory network, increasing the autoimmunity potentiating GVHD or increasing the incidence of steroid refractory GVHD (Drobyski et al., 2009). Future studies should focus on the effect of autologous GVHD incidence secondary to repetitive exposure of high-dose melphalan and the effect of chemotherapeutics (i.e., bortezomib) used in the treatment of multiple myeloma.

Nursing Implications

The oncology nurse plays a pivotal role in caring for patients with GVHD. Conducting a thorough review of systems and physical assessment promotes symptom identification, which correlates with...
early access to care and reduced delays in medical intervention. Components of competent care include maintaining open communication between the patient and the transplantation facility, ensuring medication adherence, and initiating infection control practices (i.e., hand hygiene, mucosal membrane and skin care, and aseptic catheter care). Patient advocacy and communication among the multidisciplinary team facilitates access to physical therapy, nutritional attention, and social support services (Nellen et al., 2008; Wingard, Vogelsang, & Deeg, 2002). Evaluating for the presence of steroid-induced hyperglycemia, steroid myopathy or atrophy, muscle wasting, or reactivation of viral illnesses such as cytomegalovirus promote improved patient care (Ringden, 2005). Among patients with intestinal GVHD, oncology nurses should assess for fluid loss, protein-losing enteropathy, or arrhythmias secondary to electrolyte imbalance (Mattson, 2007; Nellen et al., 2008).
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Clinical Highlights: Autologous Graft-Versus-Host Disease Definition

Traditionally, graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) has been a complication of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) that develops in response to the new donor immune system recognizing antigen-presenting cells as foreign and mounting an inflammatory response (Neumann, 2004). First reported by Hood, Vogelsang, Black, Farmer, and Santos (1987), a similar syndrome arises following autologous HCT in response to autoreactivity, a failure of self-tolerance. This syndrome, termed autologous GVHD, develops either as a response to intentional induction by immunosuppressant manipulation or spontaneously without immunosuppressant therapy (Drobyski, Hari, Keever-Taylor, Komoroski, & Grossman, 2009; Kline, Van Besien, Nathanson, Noffsinger, & Artz, 2006). The development of spontaneous autologous GVHD affects 5%–20% of patients following HCT and almost 70% of patients when immunosuppression is used (Drobyski et al., 2009; Kline et al., 2006). GVHD is classified as acute or chronic, depending on the timing of symptoms. Acute GVHD occurs within the first 100 days after transplantation, and chronic GVHD occurs after the first 100 days (Mattson, 2007; Neumann, 2004). The features of autologous GVHD are comparable to findings seen with acute GVHD.

Diagnostic Workup and Differential Diagnoses

Unlike chronic GVHD, which is characterized by multiorgan involvement and immunodeficiency, acute GVHD involves one or more of the three target organ systems: skin, gastrointestinal tract, or liver (Neumann, 2004). Clinical features of acute or autologous GVHD include any of the following symptoms: maculopapular rash, pruritis, nausea, vomiting, tenesmus, watery diarrhea, hyperbilirubinemia, elevated alkaline phosphatase, or elevated liver function tests. Acute GVHD is a clinical diagnosis, but histologic confirmation is used to support clinical findings. Histologic changes of the skin reveal apoptosis, lymphocyte infiltration, or dyskeratosis (Heymer, Bunjes, & Friedrich, 2002; Jacobsohn & Vogelsang, 2007). Similar histologic features are seen in engraftment syndrome, making it difficult to distinguish between the two syndromes. Histopathologic features of gastrointestinal GVHD reveal apoptosis, crypt cell necrosis, or crypt dropout (Heymer et al., 2002; Neumann, 2004). Stool toxin to evaluate for Clostridium difficile is included in the diagnostic approach to a patient with diarrhea following HCT. Risk factors for infectious diarrhea (C. difficile is the most common infection) include impaired immunity, antimicrobial therapy, chemotherapy, and hospitalization. The relationship and co-existence of C. difficile and GVHD is well understood and reported in the scientific literature (Kline et al., 2006). Liver histology show lymphocyte infiltration, epithelial cell dropout, and bile duct loss (Jacobsohn & Vogelsang, 2007). Using histologic evidence and information from clinical findings helps to exclude differential diagnoses such as drug rash, viral infection, or engraftment syndrome (Jacobsohn & Vogelsang, 2007).

Treatment

Corticosteroids are first-line treatment for GVHD in the autologous and allogeneic setting. GVHD in the autologous setting was previously considered a self-limited syndrome with favorable results to corticosteroids alone (Drobyski et al., 2009; Hess & Jones, 2004). Research suggests that autologous GVHD is associated with increased incidence of steroid refractoriness and poorer outcomes in patients who undergo tandem transplantation for multiple myeloma (Drobyski et al., 2009; Jacobsohn & Vogelsang, 2007; Mattson, 2007).

Nursing Implications

Oncology nurses should recognize the signs and symptoms of autologous GVHD and act as liaisons between patients and the transplantation facility. This facilitates accurate communication of information and avoids delays in medical intervention. Strict adherence to infection control measures and medication compliance are of pivotal importance (Jacobsohn & Vogelsang, 2007; Mattson, 2007).
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