



See page 717 for details about a podcast related to this article or visit www.ons.org/Publications/ONF/Features/Podcast to listen.

This material is protected by U.S. copyright law. Unauthorized reproduction is prohibited. To purchase quantity reprints, please e-mail reprints@ons.org or to request permission to reproduce multiple copies, please e-mail pubpermissions@ons.org.

Physical Access Barriers to Care for Diagnosis and Treatment of Breast Cancer Among Women With Mobility Impairments

Lisa I. Iezzoni, MD, MSc, Kerry Kilbridge, MD, MSc, and Elyse R. Park, PhD, MPH

Women with disabilities can experience disparities in their health care compared with other women (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000, 2005). In particular, they are less likely to obtain screening mammography than other women (Chan et al., 1999; Chevarley, Thierry, Gill, Ryerson, & Nosek, 2006; Iezzoni, 2008; Iezzoni, McCarthy, Davis, Harris-David, & O'Day, 2001; Iezzoni, McCarthy, Davis, & Siebens, 2000; Nosek & Howland, 1997; Wei, Findley, & Sambamoorthi, 2006). Women with disabilities who develop breast cancer may have lower rates of breast-conserving surgery; even if they do undergo breast conservation, they may receive radiation therapy less often (Iezzoni et al., 2008; McCarthy et al., 2006). In addition, women with disabilities who are diagnosed with breast cancer are more likely to die from the disease compared to other patient populations (Iezzoni et al., 2008; McCarthy et al., 2006).

Many factors might explain disparities in screening and breast-conserving surgery rates, including complex medical considerations and a woman's preference for care (Iezzoni & O'Day, 2006; Reis, Breslin, Iezzoni, & Kirschner, 2004). Physical access barriers also may contribute to healthcare disparities for patients with disabilities (Bachman, Vedrani, Drainoni, Tobias, & Maisels, 2006; Drainoni et al., 2006; Iezzoni & O'Day, 2006; Kirschner, Breslin, & Iezzoni, 2007; Liu & Clark, 2008; Mele, Archer, & Pusch, 2005; Reis et al., 2004; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2005). Despite the passage of the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) in 1990 and the 2008 passage of the ADA Amendments Act (Thomas & Gostin, 2009), healthcare facilities often remain physically inaccessible (Iezzoni, 2008; Iezzoni & O'Day, 2006; Kirschner et al., 2007; Reis et al., 2004). A survey of Los Angeles County residents with physical or sensory disabilities found that 22% had difficulty accessing their healthcare providers'

Purpose/Objectives: To explore the perceptions of patients with breast cancer with mobility impairments of the physical accessibility of healthcare facilities and equipment.

Research Approach: Individual audiotaped interviews lasting one to two hours.

Setting: Interviews in homes or workplaces or by telephone.

Participants: 20 women with chronic mobility impairments who developed early-stage breast cancer prior to age 60. Three were recruited from oncologist panels and 17 from informal social networks of disabled women nationwide.

Methodologic Approach: Qualitative analyses of interview transcripts to identify common themes.

Main Research Variables: Extent and nature of mobility impairments and concerns raised by patients about barriers to care.

Findings: The 20 participants identified issues with inaccessible equipment, including mammography machines, examining tables, and weight scales. The patients sometimes needed to insist on being transferred to an examining table when physicians preferred to examine them seated in their wheelchairs. When staff would transfer them, patients feared injury or felt badly when clinical personnel were injured during transfers. Other issues included difficulties with positioning and handling patients' uncontrollable movements. Even when clinical sites had accessible equipment, this equipment was sometimes unavailable for the appointment.

Conclusions: Women with major mobility issues who developed breast cancer confronted numerous physical barriers during the course of their breast cancer diagnosis and treatment.

Interpretation: With the aging of the baby boomer generation, an increasing number of people with mobility impairments will be seeking healthcare services. Healthcare providers should be proactive in planning to accommodate these patients by considering accessibility whenever they acquire new equipment, renovate older structures, or build new facilities. They also should establish policies and procedures to ensure that equipment is available during appointments of patients with mobility issues and that staff are trained in safe transferring procedures. Ensuring accommodations and accessibility will benefit patients with impaired mobility and clinical staff.

offices; 31% of people with severe disabilities reported such physical barriers (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2006).

Most findings relating to mammography and breast cancer care disparities come from large population-based surveys or cancer registry data without sufficient detail to identify specific causes (Chan et al., 1999; Chevarley et al., 2006; Iezzoni et al., 2000, 2001, 2008; McCarthy et al., 2006; Wei et al., 2006). To explore how physical access affects breast cancer diagnosis and treatment, the authors conducted in-depth, individual interviews with 20 women with chronic mobility impairments who developed early-stage breast cancer. Although this study focuses specifically on breast cancer, the authors identify issues that all clinicians and healthcare facilities should generally consider to improve physical access for their patients.

Methods

Conceptual Model

The authors used a definition from the *International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health* (World Health Organization [WHO], 2001) as the conceptual model. Disability is defined as “umbrella term for impairments, activity limitations, or participation restrictions, conceiving a person’s functioning and disability . . . as a dynamic interaction between health conditions (diseases, disorders, injuries, traumas, etc.) and contextual factors, including the social, attitudinal, and physical environments and personal attributes” (WHO, 2001, p. 3). Developed by the WHO, this model introduces environmental factors as key determinants of disability. The WHO groups assistive technologies, such as mobility aids, among environmental factors that facilitate participation in daily activities; barriers include impediments involving equipment and the built environment among other factors. The Institute of Medicine Committee on Disability in America (2007) recommended that the WHO framework guide U.S. data-gathering initiatives involving functional status and disability.

Study Participants

English-speaking women diagnosed with early-stage breast cancer prior to age 60 who had chronic difficulty walking or used wheeled mobility aids at the time of breast cancer diagnosis were recruited. To avoid interference with active treatment concerns, the authors excluded women undergoing initial therapy. The authors also identified potential participants by reviewing patient panels of breast cancer oncologists and through informal networks of women with disabilities (Kuzel, 1992). Medical records were not reviewed. Instead, participants’ self-reports of early-stage cancer were relied on. Given the qualitative research methods and goals,

the authors’ aim was to recruit 20 participants. Twenty-two candidates were identified and 20 completed the interviews. With the 20 participants, the study achieved thematic saturation (i.e., additional interviews added little new insight).

Data Collection

Institutional review boards at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Massachusetts General Hospital approved this study. The authors developed a semi-structured, open-ended interview guide using the WHO framework, published literature, and previous research to suggest factors that could affect breast cancer diagnosis and treatment experiences of disabled women (Iezzoni, 2003; Iezzoni & O’Day, 2006; Reis et al., 2004; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000). One researcher tested the draft guide during interviews with two women who met inclusion criteria (interviews were excluded from the analyses [Iezzoni, 2008]) and revised the protocol after reviewing findings with other investigators. The interview guide is available on request.

One researcher made all contacts with participants and performed all interviews, either in person or by telephone. Interviews lasting one to two hours occurred from December 2007–October 2008. Given the topic, the interviewer informed participants that she uses a wheelchair (Brody, 1992; Krueger & Casey, 2000; Marshall & Rossman, 1995). Participants received a \$100 gift card.

Analysis

Interview audiotapes were professionally transcribed. One researcher reviewed all 20 transcripts and sorted text segments into 30 broad topic areas for in-depth analysis (some segments went into more than one topic area). All investigators reviewed the sorted text segments to identify common elements and basic themes. Consensus was reached about the themes during team meetings.

Results

Table 1 shows demographic and other characteristics of the 20 participants. Participants reported physical access barriers across various settings where women received diagnostic testing and treatment. Table 2 summarizes these concerns, along with recommendations for potential remedies.

Mammography

All participants had mammography at some point. Screening mammograms detected the cancers of 10 participants. Four women reported having no physical issues and requiring no accommodations during mammograms.

Table 1. Sample Characteristics

Characteristic	n
Recruitment source^a	
Physician panel	3
Social networks of women with disabilities	17
Age (years) at time of breast cancer diagnosis	
30–39	3
40–49	7
50–59	10
60–69	—
70 and older	—
Age (years) at time of interview	
30–39	—
40–49	1
50–59	11
60–69	5
70 and older	3
Year of breast cancer diagnosis	
Before 1980	2
1980–1989	3
1990–1999	6
2000–2006	9
Race	
Caucasian	17
African American	2
Asian	1
Education	
High school only	2
Some college but no degree	2
College degree	8
Postgraduate education	8
Marital status	
Married or partnered	11
Divorced	3
Widowed	1
Never married	5
Geographic region of the United States	
New England	6
Middle Atlantic	4
South	2
Midwest	3
West	5
Underlying disabling condition	
Polio, disabled in childhood or post-polio syndrome as an adult	9
Spinal cord injury	3
Cerebral palsy	3
Multiple sclerosis	2
Rheumatoid arthritis	1
Degenerative disc disease	1
Surgical complication in childhood	1

N = 20

^a Participants came from nine states.

Six women said that accommodations were essential to performing the test. Handle bars affixed to mammography machines were particularly useful for positioning or providing support and stability. One woman described “hanging onto the machine.” Another woman’s specialized breast care center had a chair designed specifically for positioning women with mobility disabilities during mammograms. Some women used their own mobility aids for

accommodations. “I stand up and use one crutch and just lean,” said a woman disabled by polio who ambulates with two forearm crutches. Wheeled mobility aids assisted other women, such as a participant with multiple sclerosis (MS) who remained seated throughout the test.

I use a scooter that has an electric seat that goes up and down. . . . [Positioning myself] entails turning my seat so that I’m not sitting straight forward but off to the side on the scooter, and then pulling the scooter in so that it’s in close proximity [to the equipment].

Other women reported difficulties with mammography because of physical access barriers compounded by their underlying disabling conditions. A woman with rheumatoid arthritis could not grip the mammography handle bars because of arthritis in her hands and standing was extremely painful. Another woman, tetraplegic from childhood polio, has a tracheostomy that complicates positioning. A woman with cerebral palsy (CP) experiences uncontrollable movements which are exacerbated by exhortations that she remain still. Another woman with CP said her mammography facility does not have equipment that lowers to wheelchair height.

Fortunately my [power] wheelchair rises up, but it’s still very awkward. . . . I’m top heavy, so it’s already painful enough. They’re tugging and pulling and stretching and going through all these different [positions for various required views]. Come on, give me a break! Then they ask me, “Can you stand?” No, I can’t stand. So we’ll have to do this the best way we can.

As several participants noted, radiology technicians play critical roles. A participant with MS described back-and-forth discussions with the technician about how to best position her for mammograms. “The two of us—and it depends on the technician—really are pretty creative at getting the pictures.”

A participant with CP identified a creative radiology technician willing to work with her. To stop her movements, mild sedation, ear phones, and soothing music were tried.

What was best is that somebody’s taking the picture and the second person . . . [is] pushing me, holding me in position. . . . It all goes to show that you can have equipment that’s not very accessible, but if you’re working with a good technologist who’s creative, listens, is flexible, and will problem solve, you’ll probably get a decent outcome.

Seemingly random, unanticipated events can cause discomfort and potential safety concerns. A manual wheelchair user with a spinal cord injury kept her sense of humor during one such occurrence.

Table 2. Access Barriers Encountered by Women With Mobility Impairments and Examples of Potential Solutions

Barrier	Solution
Inaccessible Equipment	
Inaccessible mammo-graphy equipment	Install accessible mammography equipment (machines that lower to wheelchair height or machines that accommodate special chairs for positioning).
Inaccessible examining table	Install accessible examining table or equipment (e.g., Hoyer® lift) to transfer patients onto examining table.
Inaccessible radiation therapy equipment	Install accessible radiation therapy equipment or device (e.g., Hoyer lift) to transfer patients.
Inaccessible weight scale	Install accessible weight scale or other equipment for weighing patients (e.g., Hoyer lift that measures weight).
Other Access Difficulties	
Difficulty positioning while standing	Eliminate need to stand by using specially designed mammography chairs.
Difficulty positioning while lying down	Work with patients to ensure comfortable and appropriate positioning (e.g., put foam cushion under knees, use Velcro® straps to position arms).
Uncontrollable movements	Involve second mammography technician to assist in holding patient in position.
Inaccessible office doors	Install automatic door openers on interior doors frequently used by patients.
Policies and Procedures	
Facility has accessible equipment, but it is not available when patient arrives for an appointment.	Implement scheduling policies that ensure patients who need accessible equipment have priority use of that equipment. Develop ways of identifying patients with accessibility needs.
Restroom use	Ensure that women with bladder-management issues are positioned as close as possible to a restroom during long outpatient visits (e.g., chemotherapy sessions).
Staff injuries while transferring patients; days lost from work because of workplace injuries	Install equipment to assist in transferring patients. If not possible, train staff in safe transfer procedures and in working with patients to ensure safe transfers.
Unanticipated and unsafe events occur while staff is not in room.	Avoid leaving patients unattended or ensure emergency call button is both accessible and readily available.

My [breast] was already in the little squisher, and the [radiology technician] . . . had to leave the room for a second. I can't really tell where my feet are. I don't have that spatial awareness. . . . Somehow my foot . . . must have spasmed or something, and it hit the controls. . . . Suddenly my [breast] was going up in the air. Luckily she came back in time.

Examining Tables

Patients need complete physical examinations at multiple points over the course of breast cancer diagnosis and treatment. Few participants, however, saw physicians with tables that automatically lowered to wheelchair height for easy transfers. For most, getting onto standard fixed-height examining tables presented considerable challenges. In certain instances, physicians resorted to examining women while they remained seated in their wheelchairs. This made participants feel that they were getting poor-quality care. As a scooter user disabled by polio said,

Even when I go to my oncologist, he will say, "Oh, don't bother to get on the table. Just sit in the chair." Well, I don't feel I can get an adequate breast examination . . . from that particular doctor without being able to . . . lay down.

One participant's breast surgeon, meeting her for the first time, said he would examine her in her wheelchair, but the woman insisted on being moved to an examining table for a complete evaluation. The surgeon "and this other person lifted me onto the table, but I had to ask to have the breast exam on the table."

Participants discussed various strategies for getting onto fixed-height examining tables. One woman with a spinal cord injury, who described herself as a "jock," said that, with minimal assistance from clinical staff, she can lift herself onto the table. Several other women dismissed step stools or the step built into fixed-height tables as unhelpful. "They have a great, big, high step," said a woman disabled by polio. "The doctor says, 'just step on the step.' I say, 'I can't. I have no thigh muscle in either leg.'" Another woman, paraplegic from childhood polio, used her arms to get onto examining tables.

I can't even use the little thing they pull out for you to step up on. No, no, no, that doesn't work for me. I have to go on the side . . . in the middle of the table. I belly flop on the table and use my arms to pull me so my body is [lying across the table]. Then I take my arm and lift the leg with the brace . . . up on the table and the other one will follow with my body as I try to turn over. Of course, everyone is scared to death that I'm going to fall off the other side. . . . Mind you, I'm still on my stomach. Now I'm shifting so my head is going toward the top of the table. . . .

Now I'm lengthwise, but I'm on my stomach, so I've got to turn over.

Other women relied on personal assistance. A participant with a spinal cord injury was lifted onto examining tables "by either a couple of nurses or some guys in the hallway." A woman with MS would "usually just ask someone to lift my feet up and to stabilize whatever I'm transferring to if it doesn't look stable, but I do most of it on my own." A participant with rheumatoid arthritis said, "I'm afraid of people grabbing me the wrong way. So I have to be careful, and I have to tell them how to handle me." A participant with CP described her staff-assisted transfer onto the examining table as "very awkward and very hard. I had a couple of doctors and nurses. One nurse . . . strained her back when she was trying to help me get up on the table. I really felt bad about that."

Some participants used their scooters to get onto fixed-height examining tables. One woman stood on the platform in front of her scooter's seat; her husband then assisted her onto the table. Another woman used the power seat, which moves up and down, to assist in her transfer. A participant with CP used a sophisticated power wheelchair that lifts, tilts back, and reclines, allowing her to be almost fully recumbent while in her wheelchair. She no longer tries to transfer to examining tables but, instead, feels she gets complete examinations while lying in her chair.

Although a few participants reported visiting other physicians (e.g., gynecologists) who have adjustable-height tables for easy transfers, only one woman described her breast cancer care center as having this type of equipment.

Like a dentist's chair, it has the arm rest that [flips] up so you can actually slip right into and sit in the chair, put your legs down . . . and then close the arm rest. Then the doctor can lean it back if they need to examine you flat. . . . It was very easy.

Positioning once the patient was on the table posed additional difficulties. "I just can't do certain things or lay a certain way," said a woman with rheumatoid arthritis. "If it's a flat table, they always have to put something under my knees because I can't straighten them out. They're always wondering what to do with my arms because I can't get them out of the way easy enough." Arm positioning during breast examinations also was a problem for one participant with CP who described a "range of motion problem. My muscles are tight. I can't really put my . . . hands way over my head."

Radiation Therapy

Several women who received radiation therapy reported problems getting onto the table. One woman's

husband lifted her onto the table for each of her 26 radiotherapy sessions. A woman with MS went to a facility where only one out of the four tables automatically moved up and down. Sometimes she arrived for her session and the automatic table was unavailable.

They had so many patients going through there, [reserving the table] would've been a logistical nightmare for them. . . . Also [the radiotherapy machines] kept breaking down.

Radiotherapy staff used Velcro® straps to keep a participant with CP securely on the table, but positioning her arm was problematic.

You had to keep your arm over your head, a position I couldn't maintain. I said, "I'm not going to be able to do this . . . we're going to have to do something." They said, "What?" I said, "Tie it there, fix it, or brace it." . . . There are all kinds of positioning devices that they could've used—Velcro, Velcro strapping. But they did none of that. . . . They ended up using masking tape every single time.

In contrast, a woman with a spinal cord injury described very positive radiation therapy experiences because of proactive problem solving by staff.

The way my back is, it's easier if I have a little foam rest under my knees. They did that. And because I don't rest good on a very cold flat surface, they put blankets on the table. . . . And because I get cold all the time . . . because I don't have good circulation, they warmed the blankets every time I went. So I had warm blankets.

Implications of Bladder Management

None of the women complained about inaccessible restrooms, but bladder management raised other issues. Several women described needing bladder accommodations during chemotherapy. Because one woman with MS had a neurogenic bladder and difficulties walking to the bathroom, nurses periodically catheterized her during her chemotherapy sessions, placing her in a single room in the outpatient unit for privacy during this procedure. A woman with polio expressed gratitude for being positioned close to the restroom during her outpatient chemotherapy sessions. A woman with CP intentionally dehydrated herself before chemotherapy visits to minimize her bathroom trips; however, this made inserting IV lines and drawing blood difficult. "So I started drinking a lot of water," she said. "When I went to the bathroom, I would have a friend walk with me with the IV pole."

Other Care Experiences

Participants mentioned other physical access barriers. Inaccessible weight scales posed a critical issue because

chemotherapy dosages often are set according to patient weight. Some women who cannot stand independently reported that they had not been weighed in many years. To obtain her weight for determining chemotherapy dosages, the oncologist of a woman with a spinal cord injury lifted and held her in his arms while standing on a scale.

The same woman, paraplegic from a spinal cord injury, could not get onto the table for an imaging study. "I got in a fight with one of the nurses," the woman recounted, "When she said, 'You can't walk? You can't stand up? Well, why didn't you call us and tell us?'" When the technicians refused to transfer her, the woman's oncologist came over to lift her onto the table. Another woman's husband donned the protective vest to shield him from radiation exposure as he assisted his tetraplegic wife during an imaging study.

Even getting into the clinician's office was sometimes challenging. "If you're in a scooter . . . you can sit outside the door until somebody comes," said a woman disabled by childhood polio, "or you have to bang, bang, bang until somebody opens it." Another woman who used a scooter said, "I would have to depend on my husband or the kindness of strangers just to hold doors."

Discussion

The 20 study participants with mobility impairments who had early-stage breast cancer reported substantial physical barriers to accessing care, starting with screening mammography and extending throughout the course of treatment and follow-up. Even simply getting inside the clinician's office was sometimes difficult. According to the women, these barriers added to the stresses of undergoing treatment in what were already anxiety-laden circumstances. Fortunately, fairly straightforward solutions exist to eliminate these physical barriers, such as installing accessible equipment, making this equipment available when needed, and planning for additional staff involvement as appropriate.

Although the authors focused on the specific clinical context of early-stage breast cancer, the findings have implications for settings of health care on a broad basis. Regardless of their underlying health conditions, most people periodically require complete physical examinations, accurate weights, and occasional imaging studies. Therefore, considering the accessibility of routine settings, specialized imaging, and other services is important. Interestingly—and somewhat surprisingly—the women did not raise concerns about bathroom accessibility. At least for these 20 women, this suggests that bathrooms, which for so long had been inaccessible, have been renovated to improve access. However, some women still had difficulty getting through the clinic door.

Eventually, even in inaccessible settings, the women did get the services they required, but this generally required staff—physicians, nurses, and other practice personnel—lifting the patient. The women felt this put them at risk of being dropped or injured. This also put clinic staff at risk. Among various private industries, general medical and surgical hospitals had the largest number of nonfatal occupational injuries and illnesses in 2007 (253,000), with ambulatory healthcare facilities having the fourth-highest number (130,200). These figures translate into 7.7% incidence for hospitals and 3% incidence for ambulatory care settings (U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2008). One woman felt badly that a nurse was injured while transferring her onto an examining table; however, the woman needed a complete physical examination. In such situations, accessible equipment, such as automatically adjustable examination tables or lift devices, would benefit both patients and nurses.

This study has limitations. The sample of 20 women does not represent the general U.S. population. In particular, study participants were highly educated and many had polio. Several women disabled by polio suggested that numerous chest radiographs obtained during childhood polio treatments heightened their risks for subsequent breast cancer. The breast cancer treatment experiences of the study sample spanned several decades, raising questions about the current relevance of older experiences. However, in discussing physical access topics, many women mentioned their present-day experiences with follow-up or continuing care. None suggested that physical access barriers no longer exist.

As baby boomers age, growing numbers of Americans are living with disabilities, with an estimated 11.3% of Americans aged 45–64 years reporting difficulty walking three city blocks (CDC, 2009). Therefore, in coming years, large numbers of patients visiting healthcare facilities will have impaired mobility. Planning ahead to ensure that healthcare facilities are accessible will benefit not only patients but clinic staff as well.

Lisa I. Iezzoni, MD, MSc, is a professor in the Department of Medicine at Harvard Medical School and the director of the Mongan Institute for Health Policy at Massachusetts General Hospital, both in Boston; Kerry Kilbridge, MD, MSc, is an assistant in decision science in the general internal medicine unit at Massachusetts General Hospital, an instructor in the Department of Medicine at Harvard Medical School, and a visiting assistant professor in the Department of Public Health Sciences at the University of Virginia in Charlottesville; and Elyse R. Park, PhD, MPH, is a senior scientist at the Mongan Institute for Health Policy at Massachusetts General Hospital and an assistant professor in the Department of Psychiatry at Harvard Medical School. This research was funded by the National Cancer Institute (grant no. NCI R21 CA122130). Iezzoni can be reached at liezzoni@partners.org, with copy to editor at ONFEditor@ons.org. (Submitted September 2009. Accepted for publication December 2, 2009.)

Digital Object Identifier: 10.1188/10.ONF.711-717

References

- Bachman, S.S., Vedrani, M., Drainoni, M., Tobias, C., & Maisels, L. (2006). Provider perceptions of their capacity to offer accessible health care for people with disabilities. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies*, 17, 130–136. doi: 10.1177/10442073060170030101
- Brody, H. (1992). Philosophic approaches. In B.F. Crabtree & W.L. Miller (Eds.), *Doing qualitative research* (p. 179). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2006). Environmental barriers to health care among persons with disabilities. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5548a4.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2009). Prevalence and most common causes of disability among adults—United States, 2005. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5816a2.htm>
- Chan, L., Doctor, J.N., MacLehose, R.F., Lawson, H., Rosenblatt, R.A., Baldwin, L.M., & Jha, A. (1999). Do Medicare patients with disabilities receive preventive services? A population-based study. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 80, 642–646.
- Chevarley, F.M., Thierry, J.M., Gill, C.J., Ryerson, A.B., & Nosek, M.A. (2006). Health, preventive health care, and healthcare access among women with disabilities in the 1994–1995 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Disability. *Women's Health Issues*, 16, 297–312. doi: 10.1016/j.whi.2006.10.002
- Drainoni, M., Lee-Hood, E., Tobias, C., Bachman, S.S., Andrew, J., & Maisels, L. (2006). Cross-disability experience of barriers to healthcare access: Consumer perspectives. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies*, 17, 101–115. doi: 10.1177/10442073060170020101
- Iezzoni, L.I. (2003). *When walking fails. Mobility problems for adults with chronic conditions*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Iezzoni, L.I. (2008). Blocked. *Health Affairs (Project Hope)*, 27, 203–209.
- Iezzoni, L.I., McCarthy, E.P., Davis, R.B., Harris-David, L., & O'Day, B. (2001). Use of screening and preventive services among women with disabilities. *American Journal of Medical Quality*, 16(4), 135–144. doi: 10.1177/106286060101600405
- Iezzoni, L.I., McCarthy, E.P., Davis, R.B., & Siebens, H. (2000). Mobility impairments and use of screening and preventive services. *American Journal of Public Health*, 90, 955–961. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.90.6.955
- Iezzoni, L.I., Ngo, L.H., Li, D., Roetzheim, R.G., Drews, R.E., & McCarthy, E.P. (2008). Early stage breast cancer treatments for younger Medicare beneficiaries with different disabilities. Retrieved from <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2008.00853.x/abstract>
- Iezzoni, L.I., & O'Day, B.L. (2006). *More than ramps. A guide to improving healthcare quality and access for people with disabilities*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Institute of Medicine Committee on Disability in America. (2007). *The future of disability in America*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Kirschner, K.L., Breslin, M.L., & Iezzoni, L.I. (2007). Structural impairments that limit access to health care for patients with disabilities. *JAMA*, 297, 1121–1125.
- Krueger, R.A., & Casey, M.A. (2000). *Focus groups. A practical guide to applied research* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kuzel, A.J. (1992). Sampling in qualitative inquiry. In B.F. Crabtree & W.L. Miller (Eds.), *Doing qualitative research* (pp. 31–44). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Liu, S.Y., & Clark, M.A. (2008). Breast and cervical cancer screening practices among disabled women aged 40–75: Does quality of the experience matter? *Journal of Women's Health*, 17, 1321–1329. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2007.0591
- Marshall, C., & Rossman, G.B. (1995). *Designing qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- McCarthy, E.P., Ngo, L.H., Roetzheim, R.G., Chirikos, T.N., Li, D., Drews, R.E., & Iezzoni, L.I. (2006). Disparities in breast cancer treatment and survival for women with disabilities. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 145, 637–645.
- Mele, N., Archer, J., & Pusch, B.D. (2005). Access to breast cancer screening services for women with disabilities. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing*, 34, 453–464.
- Nosek, M.A., & Howland, C.A. (1997). Breast and cervical cancer screening among women with physical disabilities. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 78(12, Suppl. 5), S39–S44. doi: 10.1016/S0003-9993(97)90220-3
- Reis, J.P., Breslin, M.L., Iezzoni, L.I., & Kirschner, K.L. (2004). *It takes more than ramps to solve the crisis in healthcare for people with disabilities*. Chicago, IL: Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago.
- Thomas, V.L., & Gostin, L.O. (2009). The Americans With Disabilities Act: Shattered aspirations and new hope. *JAMA*, 301, 95–97.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2000). *Healthy people 2010. Understanding and improving health and objectives for improving health* (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2005). *The Surgeon General's call to action to improve the health and wellness of persons with disabilities*. Washington, DC: Public Health Service, Office of the Surgeon General.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2008). *Workplace injuries and illnesses in 2007* (No. USDL 08–1498). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Wei, W., Findley, P.A., & Sambamoorthi, U. (2006). Disability and receipt of clinical preventive services among women. *Women's Health Issues*, 16, 286–296. doi: 10.1016/j.whi.2006.09.002
- World Health Organization. (2001). *International classification of functioning, disability and health*. Geneva, Switzerland: Author.