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The physical and emotional burden of providing care includes sleep disturbances that have been shown to worsen physical and mental health (Brummett et al., 2006; Castro et al., 2009; Kochar, Fredman, Stone, & Cauley, 2007; McCurry, Logsdon, Teri, & Vitiello, 2007; Perrin, Heesacker, Stidham, Rittman, & Gonzalez-Rothi, 2008). With the cognitive and physical impairments resulting from a primary malignant brain tumor (PMBT) and its treatment, the care of individuals with a PMBT often falls on the family (Sherwood et al., 2006). Although PMBTs are rare, comprising only 2% of all cancers, these tumors are associated with significant morbidity and mortality (Omay & Vogelbaum, 2009; Schnell & Tonn, 2009). PMBTs typically present in men aged in their mid-60s or older, often with focal deficits such as headaches, memory loss, cognitive changes, motor deficits, language deficits, and/or sensory deficits (Chandana, Movva, Arora, & Singh, 2008; Omay & Vogelbaum, 2009; Schnell & Tonn, 2009). The average life expectancy after diagnosis with a high-grade PMBT, the most common type, is 14 months (Omay & Vogelbaum, 2009). Providing care to a person with a PMBT involves managing cognitive and physical deficits that become worse throughout the trajectory. Caregivers typically are older female spouses (Cashman et al., 2007; Keir et al., 2006; Muñoz et al., 2008; Salander & Spetz, 2002; Schmer, Ward-Smith, Latham, & Salacz, 2008; Sherwood, Given, Doorenbos, & Given, 2004; Sherwood et al., 2006, 2007; Wideheim, Edvardsson, Pählson, & Ahlström, 2002). Few studies of caregivers of individuals with a PMBT exist and, although reports of sleep disturbances have been noted, no studies of sleep have been reported in this unique caregiving population.

Literature Review

Normal Sleep

Researchers have hypothesized that sleep functions to restore the brain and to create memory through...
encoding and consolidation. Sleep-wake occurs in a rhythmic and cyclic pattern influenced by complex physiologic and behavioral processes (Carskadon & Dement, 2011). Sleep must occur in a regular pattern with minimal disruptions to mediate stress; provide emotional, mental, and physical energy; and support hormonal release and neuronal restoration (Carskadon & Dement, 2011). The American Academy of Sleep Medicine ([AASM], 2010) has recommended seven hours of sleep for restoration, with a sleep onset after laying down of less than 15 minutes and no more than 10% wake time during the nocturnal sleep period for the adult population.

Sleep Impairments in Family Caregiving

For family caregivers, interruptions in routine optimal sleep are multifactorial and can result from physiologic and emotional effects as well as the effects of providing care (Brummett et al., 2006; McCurry et al., 2007). Age, gender, and the presence of comorbidities have been shown to be consistently associated with impaired sleep in caregivers of those with cancer (Berger et al., 2005). Older age (Fonareva, Amen, Zajdel, Ellingson, & Oken, 2011; Kochar et al., 2007; McCurry et al., 2007) and being female (Castro et al., 2009; Kochar et al., 2007) have been associated with poorer sleep quality; however, the presence of comorbidities may play a more important role in sleep disturbances (Berger et al., 2005). Poor sleep hygiene as reflected in irregular sleep-wake schedules and naps longer than 30 minutes, caffeine and alcohol intake, and smoking prior to lying down for nocturnal sleep are other caregiver habits that may affect sleep (Berger et al., 2005; Lee, 2003). Sleep characteristics in caregivers differ from noncaregivers when measured with portable polysomnography (PSG); caregivers take longer to fall asleep and spend less time in the restorative phases of sleep (Fonareva et al., 2011).

Emotional distress in the forms of caregiver burden and depression, anxiety, and bereavement may result in sleep disturbances for family caregivers (Brummett et al., 2006; McCurry et al., 2007; Vaz Fragoso & Gill, 2007). Family caregivers with depressive symptoms are twice as likely to report sleep disturbances than noncaregivers and caregivers with low levels of depressive symptoms (Kochar et al., 2007). In addition, family caregivers experience disruptions in sleep from awakenings by the care recipient or from feelings of “being on duty” (Brummett et al., 2006, p. 223). Frequent nocturnal disruptions are associated with more depressive symptoms and poorer caregiver mental health (Creese, Bédard, Brazil, & Chambers, 2008). Distinct from other caregiving populations, such as dementia and other forms of cancer, caregivers of those with PMBTs must cope with the rapid neurocognitive and physical decline of their family member, which may exacerbate sleep impairments.

Effects of Sleep Losses

Whether chronic sleep losses are the result of deprivation or disruption, these losses increase a person’s risk of adverse physical, cognitive, and emotional outcomes (Dinges, Rogers, & Baynard, 2011; Lee et al., 2004). Adverse physical responses can include alterations in immune functioning (von Känel et al., 2006), alterations in metabolic or endocrine functioning (Moldofsky, 1995), and increases in comorbidities such as hypertension (von Känel et al., 2006) and depression (Hamilton, Nelson, Stevens, & Kitzman, 2007). Cognitive impairments can include short-term memory loss, poor problem solving and coping, and increases in number of accidents and/or errors in judgment (Bonnet, 2011; Dinges et al., 2011). Emotional effects can include alterations in mood and low motivation (Hamilton et al., 2007), as well as changes in social interactions with family, colleagues, and friends (Lee, 2003). Those with sleep fragmentation have more cognitive impairments than people with shortened sleep times (Bonnet, 2011). The purpose of this study was to determine the sleep quality and quantity of family caregivers of individuals with a PMBT at time of diagnosis and to examine factors contributing to their sleep characteristics.

Methods

Design

A cross-sectional, correlational study was conducted using baseline data (one to two months after PMBT diagnosis) from a larger parent study of mind-body interactions in family caregivers of those with a PMBT (Mind-Body Interactions in Neuro-Oncology Caregivers, National Cancer Institute RO1 [CA118711-02], Sherwood, PI, 2007).

Sample and Setting

Caregivers were identified by care recipients as the person who would be providing the majority of emotional, financial, and/or physical support. Caregivers were not required to live with or be a blood relative of the care recipient. Eligibility criteria for family caregivers in the parent study included (a) being aged 21 years or older; (b) being able to read, write, and understand English; (c) having access to a telephone; (d) not being a primary caregiver for anyone else other than children younger than 21 years; and (e) not being a professional caregiver. Care recipients were outpatients at an urban tertiary medical center in the eastern United States.

Instruments

Subjective measure of family caregiver sleep quality: The sleep quality of family caregivers was measured using the sleep quality subscale, which is a single-item of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) (Buysse,
Reynolds, Monk, Berman, & Kupfer, 1989), an instrument that measures sleep quality and habits in the prior month. The PSQI sleep quality subscale has a four-point scale ranging from 0 (very good) to 3 (very bad). Higher scores reflect poorer sleep quality (Buysse et al., 1989). The PSQI is a well-established and widely used reliable instrument (Grutcht et al., 2011). The single-item sleep quality subscale had an overall correlation coefficient of 0.83 (p < 0.01) with the global score in the original PSQI psychometric testing (Buysse et al., 1989).

Objective measures of family caregiver sleep: A Bodymedia® Sensewear™ Armband, an accelerometer device containing two-axis microelectromechanical sensors, was used for the objective sleep measurements total sleep time (TST), wake after sleep onset (WASO), sleep latency, naps, and sleep-wake cycle (see Figure 1). The armband has been validated with PSG measurements (Sunseri et al., 2009). The study participants were asked to wear the armband for three 24-hour periods; however, because of missing data, the average of a minimum of two nights TST and WASO were used for final analysis.

Sleep onset was defined as the first 30-minute or longer period of nocturnal sleep after lying down. Sleep-wake cycle was determined by synchronization between each day’s bedtime and wake time.

Measures of mood variables known to affect family caregiver sleep: Caregiver anxiety was measured using the shortened Profile of Mood States–Anxiety (POMS-anxiety) scale. The shortened POMS was developed to assess transient distinct mood states (McNair, Lorr, & Droppleman, 1971; Shacham, 1983). The tension-anxiety subscale includes three items rated on a five-point scale ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (always). The total subscale score ranges from 5–15, with higher scores indicating more anxiety. Discriminant validity has been established in patients with cancer (Cella et al., 1987). Cronbach alphas for the tension-anxiety subscale range from 0.87–0.91 (Baker, Denniston, Zabora, Polland, & Dudley, 2002; Curran, Andrykowski, & Studts, 1995). The Cronbach alpha for this study was 0.9.

Caregiver depressive symptoms were measured using the 10-item short form of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression (CES-D) scale (Andresen, Malmgren, Carter, & Patrick, 1994) derived from the original instrument (Radloff, 1977). Criterion validity for the CES-D short form has been established using the full CES-D (Cheung, Liu, & Yip, 2007). Each item has a four-point response scale ranging from 0 (rarely) to 3 (most or all of the time) and reflects the symptom frequency experienced in the prior week. Total scores on the 10-item CES-D range from 0–30, with higher scores indicating more depressive symptoms and a cut-off score of 10 or higher indicating possible clinical depression (Martens et al., 2006). Andresen et al. (1994) found a good predictive accuracy with the 10-item CES-D (kappa = 0.97, p < 0.01). The Cronbach alpha for this study was 0.87.

Sociodemographic variables: Self-reported caregiver sociodemographic data included age, gender, ethnicity, educational level, comorbidities, employment, smoking status, and weight. Care recipient demographic data included tumor status verified by pathology report and age collected from the health record. The care recipients’ performance of activities of daily living (ADLs) was measured by trained research assistants (RAs) using the Karnofsky Performance Scale (KPS) (Karnofsky, Abelmann, Craver, & Burchenal, 1948). The validity and reliability of the KPS have been established with other measures of physical performance (Simmonds, 2002; Yates, Chalmer, & McKegey, 1980).

Procedures

After the identification of a PMBT, the caregiver and care recipient were approached for consent while in the clinic. Both members had to consent to participate. Data were collected by trained RAs. Care recipient data were collected from the health record and by in-person interview at time of consent. After consent, caregivers were given instructions to wear the Bodymedia Sensewear Armbands for three consecutive 24-hour days. The armbands were calibrated prior to participant use. Once data collection was complete, the armband was returned to the study coordinator via prepaid mailed package. Within 72 hours of the care recipient’s interview, caregiver data using standardized questionnaires were collected via a telephone interview by RAs using a standardized protocol. Referrals to primary care providers were made for those participants scoring 10 or greater on the CES-D.

Data Analyses

Data were analyzed with PASW Statistics 18 for Windows®. Descriptive statistics were used to describe sample characteristics and major study variables. Bivariate correlation coefficients were used to examine relationships among sleep variables, caregiver mood variables, and caregiver and care recipient demographic variables, and to finalize variables to be included in regression modeling. Independent t tests were used to compare differences between groups. One-sample t tests were

---

**Figure 1. Operational Definitions of Sleep Variables**

Note. Based on information from Lee, 2003.
used to compare sample means with the general population on select sleep variables. Hierarchical regression was used to examine the contribution of care recipient and caregiver characteristics to selected sleep variables.

Results

From October 1, 2005, through April 30, 2011, 155 caregiver and care recipient dyads were enrolled in the study. Of those, 22 dyads (14%) did not complete the measures. The care recipients who did not complete measures were significantly older (X = 62.1, SD = 18.4) than those who did complete measures (X = 53.3, SD = 13.9, t[14] = 2.24, p = 0.03); no other significant differences were found.

Sample Characteristics

The final sample included 133 primary family caregiver and care recipient dyads. The care recipients predominately were middle-aged men with a grade IV malignant glioma (glioblastoma multiforme) who were Caucasian, married with a spousal caregiver, and well educated. The care recipients had high physical functioning, with 52 (39%) reporting no complaints or minor symptoms, 25 (19%) requiring minimal assistance with care, and 12 (9%) needing assistance with ADLs (see Table 1). Fifteen care recipients (11%) required considerable assistance or were unable to carry on normal activity.

Caregivers were predominately Caucasian, middle-aged females, married, and the spouse or significant other of the care recipient (see Table 2). Caregivers were well educated and most were employed outside the home. The majority of the caregivers was overweight or obese and reported at least one comorbidity such as high blood pressure, diabetes, cancer, and or stroke.

Caregiver Sleep Characteristics

On average, caregiver sleep latency was 35 minutes (SD = 34.5, median [Mdn] = 24.5), more than twice as long as the general population norm of 15 minutes (t[113] = 6.18, p < 0.01) (AASM, 2010). Average TST was 5 hours and 57 minutes (SD = 84.6, Mdn = 361.3 minutes), less than the general population’s minimum of seven hours (t[113] = –8, p < 0.01) (AASM, 2010). Caregivers experienced fragmented sleep (WASO X = 15.1%, SD = 9.2%, Mdn = 13.1%). Male caregivers slept less than female caregivers (X = 328, SD = 85.6 minutes versus X = 368, SD = 82.1 minutes, t[31] = 2.61, p = 0.01).

Caregiver self-perceptions of sleep latency differed significantly from that of the accelerometer data (X = 24.9, SD = 26.8 minutes versus X = 35.4, SD = 34.5 minutes, t[11] = 3.1, p < 0.01). Caregivers also perceived less WASO time (11%) than what was recorded by the accelerometer (15%, t[111] = 5.26, p < 0.01).

On average, caregivers awakened at 7:09 am (SD = 120.84 minutes, range = 3:36 am–10:35 am), aroused an average of 8.3 times (SD = 3.5, range = 2–21) during the night as reflected on the accelerometers, and adhered to an average bedtime of 11:04 pm (SD = 111.43 minutes, range = 8:44 pm–2:22 am). Forty-eight percent of caregivers napped at least once per day (SD = 0.7, range = 0–5) for an average of 16.4 minutes (SD = 23.5, range = 0–121). Self-reported sleep quality was fairly good for the majority of caregivers (61%, n = 81); however, 32% (n = 42) of the caregivers reported fairly poor or very poor sleep quality.

To determine the synchronicity of the sleep-wake cycle, bivariate correlations were calculated for the caregiver bedtimes and arousal times. The sleep-wake cycle of this sample was asynchronous as evidenced by asynchronous patterns between sleep and wake schedules. Participant bedtimes were moderately correlated among the three days (r = 0.41–0.46, p < 0.01). Their arousal times showed a variety of relationships: (a) a strong relationship between sleep arousal on day 1 and day 2 (r = 0.57, p < 0.01), (b) a moderate relationship between day 2 and day 3 (r = 0.43, p < 0.01), and (c) a small relationship between day 1 and day 3 (r = 0.29, p < 0.01). Day of the week was examined for impact on sleep characteristics and no significant difference was found (p > 0.05).

Caregiver Mood Characteristics

The average caregiver anxiety score was 8.4 (SD = 2.2, range = 3–15, n = 122). About 59% of the caregivers reported high anxiety (n = 79). The average CES-D score was 8.3 on the 0–30 scale (SD = 6.5, range = 9–29, n = 126). About 31% of caregivers (n = 41) reported depressive symptoms suggestive of a need for referral for evaluation of possible clinical depression (scores of 10 or greater on the CES-D).

Table 1. Care Recipient Characteristics (N = 133)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53.29</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>22–85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPS (N = 114)</td>
<td>82.63</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>50–100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytoma I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytoma II</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytoma III</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma multiforme</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KPS—Karnofsky Performance Scale

Note. The KPS ranges from 0 (death) to 100 (perfect health).
Relationships Among the Study Variables

Among the sleep variables, poorer self-reported sleep quality was associated with longer TST \( (r = 0.21, p = 0.03) \) and increased sleep latency \( (r_s = 0.23, p = 0.02) \). No significant relationship existed between WASO and self-reported sleep quality \( (r = -0.18, p = 0.07) \).

Significant associations \( (p < 0.05) \) were found among the caregiver characteristics and sleep variables, including (a) longer TST correlated for female caregivers and those with higher anxiety and caring for higher physically functioning care recipients; (b) less WASO associated with being employed, higher physically functioning care recipients, and higher caregiver anxiety; and (c) higher caregiver anxiety associated with poorer sleep quality (see Table 3).

Predictors of Total Sleep Time, Wake After Sleep Onset, and Sleep Quality

Three parsimonious models, using hierarchical regression modeling, were constructed using variables that were significantly \( (p < 0.05) \) correlated with the sleep variables: TST, WASO, and sleep quality. The first model indicated higher care recipient physical functioning \( (t = 2, p = 0.05) \), and higher caregiver anxiety \( (t = 2.1, p = 0.04) \) predicted longer nocturnal sleep times. The overall model was significant and accounted for 14% of the variance in TST. In the second model, poorer care recipient physical functioning \( (t = -2.1, p = 0.04) \), not being employed \( (t = -2.5, p = 0.01) \), and lower anxiety \( (t = -2.4, p = 0.02) \) were significant predictors of higher WASO. All together, these three predictors accounted for 15% of the variance in WASO. In the final sleep quality model, higher caregiver anxiety predicted poorer self-reported sleep quality, and accounted for 15% of the variance \( (t = 0.36, p < 0.01) \) (see Table 4).

Discussion

The PMBT caregivers in this study slept less than the recommended total sleep time and experienced fragmented sleep similar to family caregivers of those with dementia (Beaudreau et al., 2008; Castro et al., 2009; Fonareva et al., 2011; Rowe, Kairalla, & McCrae, 2010; Rowe, McCrae, Campbell, Benito, & Cheng, 2008) and those with cancer (Carter, 2005, 2006). Sleep impairments such as fragmentation of sleep or shorter sleep times have negative effects on caregiver health. Fragmented sleep is associated with cognitive impairments that affect task attention, acquisition of new knowledge, and short-term memory (Bonnet, 2011; Cole & Richards, 2005; Dinges et al., 2011). Consistent with other caregiving studies, findings in this study indicate that PMBT caregivers are vulnerable and may be at risk for depression, cardiovascular disease, and higher mortality rates (Hamilton et al., 2007; Moldofsky, 1995; Schulz & Beach, 1999). For caregivers, impaired cognitive function may interfere with their ability to make decisions for their care recipient or the ability...
to remain in the caregiving role. Although individually shorter sleep times, longer sleep latencies, and frequent awakenings during sleep may not appear significant, together and cumulatively they can lead individuals to incur a sleep debt (Van Dongen, Rogers, & Dinges, 2003) and can ultimately compromise their physical and mental functioning. In addition, those PMBT caregivers who are not able to fall asleep in what is perceived to be a timely manner without intervention are at a higher risk for developing anxiety from the prolonged sleep latency, which may further disturb sleep (Lee, 2003; Stepski & Wyatt, 2003).

Healthy sleep is characterized by at least seven hours of nocturnal sleep and fewer awakenings (AASM, 2010). In this study, findings from self-reported sleep quality were significantly different from the objective data measured from the accelerometers. Compared to the objective sleep data, the caregivers underestimated their sleep latencies and arousals; however, these differences are consistent with findings in those with chronic sleep restrictions (Dinges et al., 2011).

Anxiety was a consistent predictor of caregiver sleep quality, sleep duration, and nocturnal arousals. Anxiety disorders typically include symptoms such as longer sleep latencies, difficulty maintaining sleep in the night, and shorter sleep durations (Monti & Monti, 2000; Papadimitriou & Linkowski, 2005; Stein & Mellman, 2011). However, higher anxiety in caregivers was unexpectedly associated with longer total sleep times and less WASO. That may have resulted from a combination of anxiety and depressive symptoms. Studies have indicated that people experiencing depressive symptoms report poorer sleep quality, whereas those with anxiety report longer sleep latencies (Babson, Trainor, Feldner, & Blumenthal, 2010; Mayers, Grabau, Campbell, & Baldwin, 2009). Additional studies are needed to fully elucidate the role that anxiety has in sleep. Anxiety was the only predictor of poor sleep quality, which is similar to other caregiving populations (Berger et al., 2005; McCurry et al., 2007).

Care recipient physical functioning was another independent predictor of total sleep time and arousals. Caregivers of care recipients with higher physical functioning had longer total sleep times, whereas caregivers of those with lower physical functioning had more WASO. Although not addressed in the caregiving literature, these findings make logical sense, as caregivers may be awakened in the night to provide care for those care recipients needing more assistance with activities such as toileting.

Those who were employed experienced less WASO. The effects of employment on sleep have not been reported in the caregiving literature; however, those who are employed may experience a better sleep-wake schedule because the regular work schedule drives a consistent wake time, resulting in better sleep. Another explanation may be that with higher fatigue may come a higher propensity to sleep. Additional study of the relationships among sleep, employment, and caregiving is needed.

Caregiver sleep was impaired at the time of PMBT diagnosis. Although whether these initial impairments continue, worsen, or improve given the trajectory of the illness and increasing care recipient disability is unknown, sleep impairments likely continue or worsen. Primary studies that specifically address sleep in PMBT caregivers are needed because sleep impairments may affect caregiver ability to make decisions, provide care, and handle personal health.

### Limitations

The literature suggests that when using actigraphy, similar to accelerometers, a minimum of three with a preference for five nights (Acebo & LeBourgeois, 2006; Morgenthaler et al., 2007) of data are needed to provide a clearer representation of participant sleep. However, to prevent undue stress on caregivers of patients with...
Implications for Nursing Practice

With much of the care for individuals with a PMBT occurring in the home, healthcare providers need to be cognizant of the burdens on caregiver sleep and health. Healthcare providers’ interactions with PMBT caregivers need to include brief assessments of anxiety, depressive symptoms, and sleep in hospital and clinic settings. For those caregivers with high anxiety or depressive symptoms, teaching ways to cope with anxiety or making referrals when appropriate for counseling could contribute to preserving or improving caregivers’ health. Written information about sleep and ways to improve sleep are needed for all caregivers, particularly as the current study found caregivers underestimate their sleep loss and may not realize the potential effects of poor sleep on their cognition. The information should include sleep hygiene content such as the habits and practices that may hinder or improve sleep, such as going to bed and arising at the same time each day or avoiding heavy meals, extreme exercise, alcohol, caffeine, or nicotine before laying down to sleep (AASM, 2010; Mastin et al., 2010). Caregivers who experience difficulty in falling asleep should be encouraged to get out of bed after 20 minutes and try again when they feel sleepy (AASM, 2010; Berger et al., 2009). If the caregivers experience shortened total sleep times, taking a nap limited to 30–45 minutes during the day (AASM, 2010; Berger et al., 2009) may help reduce sleep loss. For those with shortened sleep times because of caregiving demands, caregivers should be encouraged to seek respite through other family members and friends. Through awareness of potential sleep impairments, systematic assessments of caregiver sleep and health, and provision of information about sleep hygiene, nurses are in an ideal position to improve PMBT caregivers’ sleep, health, and quality of life.
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1. **What methods can be used to assess sleep other than accelerometers?**
2. **What are the barriers and facilitators to routinely assessing sleep patterns and quality in your patients and their caregivers?**
3. **How do you deal with complaints about poor sleep from the caregivers of your patients, no matter what their diagnoses?**
4. **What are some of the side effects of poor sleep that you have seen in family members of your patients?**
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